CHAPTER 6

Vocabulary

- Ἀθῆναι, Ἀθηνῶν, αἱ Athens → δεσπότης, δεσπότου, ὁ master, lord;
 - absolute ruler
- → ἐλευθερία, ἐλευθερίας, ἡ freedom
 - δοῦλος, δούλου, ὁ slave
- → ἀγών, ἀγῶνος, ὁ contest; struggle
- → ἀνήρ, ἀνδρός, ὁ man; husband

ἐγώ, ἐμοῦ/μου I; me (§67) ἡμεῖς, ἡμῶν we; us (§67) σύ, σοῦ/σου you (§67) ὑμεῖς, ὑμῶν you (pl.) (§67) αὐτοῦ, αὐτῆς, αὐτοῦ him, her, it; them (§67)

- → βούλομαι, βουλήσομαι, —, —, βεβούλημαι, ἐβουλήθην want, wish
- γίγνομαι, γενήσομαι, ἐγενόμην,
 γέγονα, γεγένημαι, become;
 happen; arise, be born
- δέχομαι, δέξομαι, ἐδεξάμην, ——,
 δέδεγμαι, —— accept, receive;
 welcome
- ὁράω, ὄψομαι, εἶδον, ἑώρᾶκα/ἑόρᾶκα,
 ἑώρᾶμαι/ὧμμαι, ὤφθην see

- αὐτός, αὐτή, αὐτό -self, very; same (§66) δῆλος, δήλη, δῆλον clear
- ἄδηλος, ἄδηλον unclear → ἐλεύθερος, ἐλευθέρā, ἐλεύθερον free
- ἐμός, ἐμή, ἐμόν my (§67) ἡμέτερος, ἡμετέρᾶ, ἡμέτερον our (§67)
- ὀρθός, ὀρθή, ὀρθόν straight; correct σός, σή, σόν your (\$67)
 ὑμέτερος, ὑμετέρᾶ, ὑμέτερον your (pl.) (\$67)
 χρηστός, χρηστή, χρηστόν useful; good
 - πᾶς, πᾶσα, πᾶν all, every; whole (§68)
 ἄπᾶς, ἄπᾶσα, ἄπαν (quite) all,
 every; whole (§68)
 - ἄνευ (prep. + gen.) without
- → ὅτι (conj.) that; because
- → οὖν (postpositive conj.) then, therefore
- → οὔτε/μήτε... οὔτε/μήτε... (conj.)
 neither... nor...
 πόθεν (interrog. adv.) from where
 ποῦ (interrog. adv.) to where
 ποῦ (interrog. adv.) where
- ὑπέρ (prep. + gen.) over; on behalf of
 (prep. + acc.) beyond
- → ως (proclitic conj.) that; as
- → ὥσπερ (conj.) just as

Vocabulary Notes

- → The vocative singular of δεσπότης, δεσπότου, ὁ, "master," "lord," is δέσποτα, with an acute accent on the antepenult. Memorize this irregular accent. δεσπότης refers either to the master of a household or to the master of a slave or slaves. In some contexts δεσπότης means "despot" or "absolute ruler." δεσπότης may also be used of the gods, the masters of men and animals.
- ἐλευθερία, ἐλευθερίας, ἡ, "freedom," is an abstract noun used primarily of political freedom (as opposed to slavery). It is formed by the addition of the first-declension abstract noun suffix -ία to ἐλεύθερ-, the stem of the adjective ἐλεύθερος.
- ἀγών, ἀγῶνος, ὁ is a noun derived from the root of the verb ἄγω, ἀγ-, and its original meaning was an "assembly," the result of a group's being led together. Because ἀγών was the word used for an assembly for an athletic competition such as the Olympic games (ὁ Ὀλυμπιακὸς ἀγών), it came to mean "contest," its more common meaning in Attic Greek. By extension of this idea ἀγών was used of any "struggle" or "battle."
- ἀνήρ, ἀνδρός, ὁ, an irregular third-declension noun, means "man" as opposed to woman, god, or youth. ἀνήρ may also mean "husband," and it is often used of a man in the prime of his life or of one who possesses courage.

MEMORIZE THE FOLLOWING DECLENSION, PAYING PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO ACCENTS:

Stems			Singular	Plural
long-vowel grade:	άνηρ-	Nom.	άνήρ	ἄνδρες
short-vowel grade:	άνερ-	Gen.	άνδρός	ἀνδρῶν
zero grade:	άνδρ-	Dat.	άνδρί	ἀνδράσι(ν)
	MAYON .	Acc.	ἄνδρα	ἄνδρας
		Voc.	ἄνερ	ἄνδρες

ἀνήρ has a stem with three different forms: a long-vowel grade with a long vowel between the nu and the rho (ἀνερ-); a short-vowel grade with a short vowel between the nu and the rho (ἀνερ-); and a zero grade with no vowel between the nu and the rho (ἀνδρ-). The expected zero grade of ἀνήρ, *ἀνρ-, is replaced by ἀνδρ- in accordance with a regular sound change that occurred in Greek (*-νρ-> -νδρ-). In the dative plural form an alpha is added before the ending. With the exception of the vocative singular and the dative plural, the accentual pattern of ἀνήρ is identical with the one for third-declension nouns with monosyllabic nominative singulars (cf. the declension of φρήν and \$52, Observation 2, p. 122).

- → βούλομαι, βουλήσομαι, ——, βεβούλημαι, ἐβουλήθην is a passive-in-the-aorist deponent verb (§65). That is, all its forms are middle except for its aorist forms, which are passive. βούλομαι means "want" or "wish," and it often takes an Object Infinitive. It may also be used absolutely. It sometimes takes an Accusative, Direct Object, particularly when the direct object is a neuter pronoun. Although βούλομαι and ἐθέλω may both express an idea of wishing or wanting, in Attic prose βούλομαι is used when a subject desires or chooses an action, but ἐθέλω is used when a subject is open to or accepts an action.
- γίγνομαι, γενήσομαι, ἐγενόμην, γέγονα, γεγένημαι, is an irregular middle deponent verb (§65). The ending -ομην in the third principal part indicates that this verb has a second agrist. The additional fourth principal part—the first person singular perfect active indicative—is used to form perfect active forms, which do not differ in meaning from the perfect middle forms made from the fifth principal part (§83). γίγνομαι means "become," "happen," "arise," "be born," and may be used of both people and things. When γίγνομαι is used as a copulative verb with a Predicate Nominative

or a Nominative, Predicate Adjective, it may be translated "become." Its aorist may also be used as the simple past of εἰμί and translated accordingly.

> πολλά έγίγνετο έκ τούτων. ἄρχων ἐγένετο οὖτος.

γίγνονται παΐδες ἐκ τούτου. Children are born from this man. Many things were arising from these things.

This man became (i.e., was) archon.

- \rightarrow δέχομαι, δέξομαι, ἐδεξάμην, ——, δέδεγμαι, —— is a middle deponent verb ($\S65$). That is, all its principal parts and all its forms are middle. The ending - $\alpha\mu\eta\nu$ in the third principal part indicates that this verb has a first aorist. δέχομαι means "accept" or "receive" with a great variety of direct objects (pay, a gift, a story, an oracle, death). The person from whom someone accepts or receives something is regularly expressed by $\pi\alpha\rho\dot{\alpha}$ and the genitive case. When the direct object of $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\chi o\mu\alpha\iota$ is a person rather than a thing, the appropriate meaning for the verb is "welcome" or "receive favorably."
- \rightarrow ἔρχομαι, ἐλεύσομαι, ἦλθον, ἐλήλυθα, ——, —— is an intransitive verb that means either "go" or "come." It is a middle deponent in the first two principal parts. Its present and future tenses have middle forms only. Its imperfect tense is supplied by another verb. Future tense forms using a stem from ἐλεύσομαι are rare and appear chiefly in Homer and tragedy. In Greek prose the future tense of ἔρχομαι is supplied by another verb, εἷμι (Part 2, \$145). ἔρχομαι has a second aorist with active forms only. Perfect and pluperfect forms made from the fourth principal part are also active only (§83).
- ightharpoonup ὁράω, ὄψομαι, εἶδον, ἑώρ $\bar{\alpha}$ κα, ἑώρ $\bar{\alpha}$ μαι, ἐώρ $\bar{\alpha}$ μμαι, ὤφθην, "see," is an alpha-contracted verb in the present and imperfect tenses (\$41). The imperfect indicative, however, has a double augment with the initial omicron lengthened and an epsilon with a rough breathing added:

έώρων, έώρας, έώρα, etc. (imperfect active indic.) έωρώμην, έωρῶ, έωρᾶτο, etc. (imperfect passive indic.)

The middle ending, $-o\mu\alpha\iota$, in the second principal part indicates that $\dot{o}\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$ is a partial deponent. As the -ov ending of the third principal part indicates, $\delta\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$ has a second aorist. The unaugmented aorist stem of $\epsilon i\delta ov$ is $i\delta$ -. Thus, for example, the aorist active infinitive is $i\delta \epsilon iv$. There are no differences in meaning, only differences in form, between the two forms of the fourth principal part and the two forms of the fifth principal part. The unaugmented aorist passive stem from the sixth principal part is όφθ-. Thus, for example, the future passive indicative is \dot{o} φθήσομαι, \dot{o} φθήσει/ \dot{o} φθήση, etc. Other than in the future, $\dot{o}\rho\dot{\alpha}\omega$ appears rarely in the middle voice and only in poetry. It does appear in the passive voice.

- \Rightarrow ἐλεύθερος, ἐλευθέρα, ἐλεύθερον, "free," may be used to indicate a person's legal status (free as opposed to enslaved), or it may be accompanied by a Genitive of Separation (free from pain, fear). When used of things, ἐλεύθερος may mean "open to all" (a marketplace) or "fit for a free person" (a speech, thoughts).
- \rightarrow ὀρθός, ὀρθή, ὀρθόν means "straight" as opposed to crooked (e.g., a straight road) and is also applied to "erect" or "upright" posture. It may also denote a person or thing that is "right" or "correct" (a messenger, a speech, a mind, an opinion).
- → After certain verbs and expressions of perceiving, knowing, thinking, and saying, the subordinating conjunction ὅτι means "that" and introduces a noun clause called an indirect statement (§69).

The subordinating conjunction ὅτι may also mean "because." When it has this meaning, it introduces an adverbial clause that gives the reason for the main verb.

τὸν ἑταῖρον φιλῶ ὅτι με φιλεῖ. I love my companion because he loves me.

- The postpositive conjunction $o\hat{v}v$ joins a sentence with a preceding one and indicates a consequence of or an inference from a preceding idea. It is translated "then," "therefore."
 - Α. χαλεπὸν εὖ ἄρχειν.
 - Β. δεῖ οὖν τὴν τῶν ποιητῶν σοφίαν εὖ μανθάνειν.
 - A. It is difficult to rule well.
 - B. It is necessary, then, to learn well the wisdom of the poets.

The particle combination $\mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu o \hat{v} \nu$ has these meanings: (1) in affirmations, "certainly," "by all means"; (2) in corrections, "no," "on the contrary"; (3) in transitions to a new subject, "so then," "therefore."

 \rightarrow οὔτε has an acute accent on the penult because this word is the adverb oὖ, a proclitic, combined with the enclitic connective particle τε. μήτε has an acute accent on the penult because this word is the adverb μή combined with the enclitic connective particle τε. Both the conjunctions οὔτε... οὔτε... and μήτε... μήτε... mean "neither... nor..." The particular elements being negated determine which conjunctions are used.

ούτε φίλους έχομεν ούτε συμμάχους.

Neither do we have friends nor (do we have) allies. (Finite indicative verbs receive negation.)

τὸν ἄδικον μήτε φιλεῖν μήτε τῖμᾶν σοι λέγω.

I tell you neither to love nor to honor the unjust man. (Object infinitives receive negation.)

Both $o\"{v}\tau\epsilon...o\~{v}\tau\epsilon...$ and $\mu\acute{\eta}\tau\epsilon...$ are often preceded by a simple negative adverb called a redundant negative. A redundant negative strengthens a negative idea, and it should not be translated into English.

οὐκ ἐφίλουν οὕτε δεσπότην οὕτε δούλους. I was (not) loving neither master nor slaves. I was loving neither master nor slaves.

When followed by a noun or pronoun in the genitive case, the preposition $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ means "over" or "above" and expresses a relation opposite to that of $\dot{v}\pi\dot{o}$, "under," "beneath." From a location in space, "over" is extended to mean "on behalf of."

καλὴ ἡ ὑπὲρ τῆς ἐλευθερίᾶς μάχη. Noble is the battle over/on behalf of freedom.

When followed by a noun or pronoun in the accusative case, $\dot{v}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ means "over" or "beyond."

ύπὲρ ἐλπίδας ἐνῖκῶμεν. Beyond (our) hopes we were winning.

After certain verbs and expressions of perceiving, knowing, thinking, and saying, the subordinating conjunction $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ means "that" and introduces a *noun* clause called an indirect statement (§69). When

 $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ introduces an indirect statement, it differs slightly in usage from $\ddot{o}\tau\iota$ in that $\ddot{o}\tau\iota$ often introduces a statement of fact, but $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ may suggest that an indirect statement is merely an opinion, true or untrue. The subordinating conjunction $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ may also mean "as." With this meaning, it introduces an *adverbial* clause that modifies the main clause.

έκεῖνος ὁ ἀνὴρ τὸν ἀγῶνα νῖκᾳ, ὡς ἀκούσει.

That man is winning in (respect to) the contest, as you will hear.

When $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ meaning "as" is balanced by the adverb $o\ddot{\upsilon}\tau\omega(\varsigma)$, "so," in the main clause, a comparison is indicated.

ώς δοῦλοι δεσπόταις, οὕτως ἐλεύθεροι ἄρχουσι πείθονται.

As slaves (obey) masters, so free men obey rulers.

When preceded by $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$, the third person singular present active indicative of $\dot{\epsilon}i\mu i$, $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i(\nu)$, is accented on the *penult*: $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau i(\nu)$.

 \rightarrow $\mathring{\omega}$ σπερ has an acute accent on the penult because this word is the conjunction $\mathring{\omega}$ ς, a proclitic, combined with the enclitic particle $-\pi$ ερ.

Derivatives and Cognates

	0	
ἀνήρ	Derivatives androgyny, philander	Cognates
γίγνομαι		kin, na tion, in na te, gene alogy
δεσπότης	despot	
δέχομαι	pandect, synecdoche	dogma, dec ent
έγώ	*	ego, I
έμοῦ		me
έλεύθερος		liberate, deliver
ἔρχομαι		orient, origin, are
ήμεῖς		us
δράω	pan ora ma	aware, ware, ward, guard
ὄψομαι	my op ia	syn ops is, op tic, oculus, eye
είδον		video, guide, wisdom, idol
ὀρθός	orthography, orthodox	
πᾶς	pantomime, pandemic, pancreas	
σύ		thou, thee
ΰμεῖς		you
ύπέρ	hyperactive	over, superb, sirloin

§60. First Acrist Active and Middle Indicative and Infinitives of Omega Verbs

The aorist indicative has past time with simple aspect (\S_{24}) and so differs from the imperfect indicative, which also has past time but with progressive or repeated aspect.

I said aorist (past simple)

I was saying imperfect (past progressive)
I used to say imperfect (past repeated)

The agrist active and middle tenses of an omega verb are formed from the third principal part (first person singular agrist active indicative), and there are two different conjugations for these tenses. The conjugation employed by a particular verb is determined by the ending of the third principal part.

παύω, παύσω, ξπαυσα, πέπαυκα, πέπαυμαι, ἐπαύθην ἄγω, ἄξω, ἤγαγον, ἢχα, ἢγμαι, ἤχθην

When the third principal part ends in $-\alpha$, the verb is said to have a **first aorist**, and most of its aorist active and middle endings have an $-\alpha$. When the third principal part of a verb ends in $-\omega$, the verb is said to have a **second aorist**, and all its aorist active and middle endings have thematic vowels $(-\omega - \omega - \varepsilon - \omega)$. Thus, $\pi\alpha\dot{\omega}$ has a first aorist, and $\alpha\dot{\omega}$ has a second aorist. Most omega verbs have first aorists, some have second aorists, and a very few—with *two* third principal parts—have *both* a first and a second aorist.

First Aorist Active and Middle Indicative of Omega Verbs

To form the first aorist active indicative of an omega verb:

- 1. take the augmented a orist active and middle stem by removing the - α from the third principal part
- 2. add the following aorist active indicative endings:

Person	Singular	Plural
1	-a	-αμεν
2	-as	-ατε
3	$-\varepsilon(v)$	-av

Memorize these endings, down the singular and then down the plural, and be prepared to recite them quickly.

^{1. &}quot;Aorist" (< ἀόριστος, "unlimited") refers to the fact that this tense reports a *simple occurrence* that is *not limited* as to continuance, repetition, or completion.

^{2.} Since principal part 3 is the first person singular aorist active indicative, it already has an augment.

To form the first aorist middle indicative of an omega verb:

- 1. take the augmented aorist active and middle stem by removing the - α from the third principal part
- 2. add the following aorist middle indicative endings:

Person	Singular	Plural
1	-αμην	-αμεθα
2	-ω	-ασθε
3	-ατο	-avto

Memorize these endings, down the singular and then down the plural, and be prepared to recite them quickly.

Thus, the first aorist active and middle indicative conjugations of $\pi\alpha\dot{\nu}\omega$ are:

Augmented First Aorist Active/Middle Stem: ἐπαυσ-

	Active		Middle
Singular 1 ἔπαυσα 2 ἔπαυσας 3 ἔπαυσε(ν)	I stopped (trans.)	ἐπαυσάμην	I stopped (intrans.)
	you stopped (trans.)	ἐπαύσω	you stopped (intrans.)
	he, she, it stopped (trans.)	ἐπαύσατο	he, she, it stopped (intrans.)
Plural 1 ἐπαύσαμεν 2 ἐπαύσατε 3 ἔπαυσαν	we stopped (trans.)	έπαυσάμεθα	we stopped (intrans.)
	you (pl.) stopped (trans.)	έπαύσασθε	you (pl.) stopped (intrans.)
	they stopped (trans.)	έπαύσαντο	they stopped (intrans.)

- OBSERVATIONS
 - 1. The stem from the third principal part is used to form the aorist active and middle tenses only. (The stem for the aorist passive is taken from the sixth principal part $[\S 62]$.)
 - 2. The accent on finite verb forms is recessive.
 - 3. The ending of the third person singular first aorist active indicative has a movable nu.
 - 4. Each of the endings of the aorist middle indicative is a combination of -\$\alpha\$- and one of the secondary middle/passive personal endings (-\$\mu\eta\cup\varphi\rangle\c

First Aorist Active and Middle Infinitives of Omega Verbs

To form the first aorist active infinitive of an omega verb:

- 1. take the unaugmented aorist active and middle stem by removing the α and augment from the third principal part 3
 - 3. Thus, ξπαυσα > παυσ-; ήθέλησα > έθελησ-.

2. add the first aorist active infinitive ending -ai.

To form the first aorist middle infinitive of an omega verb:

- 1. take the unaugmented aorist active and middle stem by removing the $-\alpha$ and the augment from the third principal part
- 2. add the first aorist middle infinitive ending $\alpha\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$

For example:

Unaugmented Aorist Active/Middle Stem: παυσ-

First Aorist Active Infinitive First Aorist Middle Infinitive παῦσαι παύσασθαι to stop (trans.) (once) to stop (intrans.) (once)

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The accent on the first aorist active infinitive is persistent on the penult, and the final diphthong, - $\alpha \iota$, counts as short for purposes of accent. The persistent accent is seen clearly in an infinitive of more than two syllables. For example: $\dot{\epsilon}\theta \epsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \iota$. The accent on the first aorist middle infinitive is recessive, and the final diphthong, - $\alpha \iota$, counts as short for purposes of accent.
- 2. The aorist active and middle infinitives do not always indicate past time, but always indicate simple aspect.

TRILL 60 MAY NOW BE DONE.

§61. Second Aorist Active and Middle Indicative and Infinitives of Omega Verbs

Second Aorist Active and Middle Indicative of Omega Verbs

Some verbs have a third principal part (first person singular aorist active indicative) that ends in -ov. For example:

άγω, άξω, ήγαγον, ήχα, ήγμαι, ήχθην

Such a verb is said to have a **second aorist**, which uses secondary endings familiar from the imperfect indicative. There is *no* difference in *meaning* between a first aorist and a second aorist, only a difference in *form*.

To form the second aorist active indicative of an omega verb:

- take the augmented aorist active and middle stem by removing the -ov from the third principal part
- 2. add the secondary active endings.

To form the second agrist middle indicative of an omega verb:

- take the augmented aorist active and middle stem by removing the -ov from the third principal part
- 2. add the secondary middle/passive endings.

Thus, the second agrist active and middle indicative conjugations of $\alpha\gamma\omega$ are:

Augmented Aorist Active/Middle Stem: ἠγαγ-

Ac	tive	Mic	ldle
Singular 1 ἤγαγον 2 ἤγαγες 3 ἤγαγε(ν)	I led you led he, she, it led	ἠγαγόμην ἠγάγου ἠγάγετο	I married you married he married
Plural 1 ἠγάγομεν 2 ἠγάγετε 3 ἤγαγον	we led you (pl.) led they led	ήγαγόμεθα ήγάγεσθε ήγάγοντο	we married you (pl.) married they married
OBSERVATIONS			

- 1. The stem from the third principal part is used to form the aorist active and middle *only*. (The stem for the aorist passive is taken from the sixth principal part $[\S62]$.)
- 2. The accent on finite verb forms is recessive.
- 3. The forms of the *imperfect* and the *second aorist* active and middle indicative differ in *stem* only. For example:

ἦγον ἤγαγον	I was leading (imperfect) I led (aorist)
ἤγετο	he was marrying (imperfect) he was being led
ήγάγετο	he married (aorist)

Second Aorist Active and Middle Infinitives of Omega Verbs

To form the second aorist active infinitive of an omega verb:

- 1. take the unaugmented aorist active and middle stem by removing the -ov and the augment from the third principal part⁴
- 2. add the second aorist active infinitive ending - $\hat{\epsilon iv}$.

To form the second aorist middle infinitive of an omega verb:

- 1. take the unaugmented aorist active and middle stem by removing the -ov and the augment from the third principal part
- 2. add the second aorist middle infinitive ending $\acute{\epsilon}\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$.

^{4.} Thus, $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ v > \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \gamma$ -; $\xi \sigma \chi \circ v > \sigma \chi$ -.

For example:

Unaugmented Aorist Active/Middle Stem: ἀγαγ-

Second Aorist Active Infinitive Second Aorist Middle Infinitive άγαγεῖν ἀγαγέσθαι to lead (once) to marry (once)

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The accent on the second aorist active infinitive is persistent on the ultima. Memorize the Accent as part of the ending. The accent on the second aorist middle infinitive is persistent on the penult, and the final diphthong -all counts as short for purposes of accent. Memorize the Accent as part of the ending.
- 2. The aorist active and middle infinitives do not always indicate past time, but always indicate simple aspect.

The verb $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$ has both a first and a second agrist with no difference in meaning. The first agrist is formed regularly from the third principal part $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\xi \alpha$, and the second agrist is formed regularly from the third principal part $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi\sigma v$. There is an alternate second person agrist active indicative form, $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi\alpha \zeta$, which is more common in Attic Greek than the regularly formed $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi\epsilon \zeta$. Memorize this irregular form. The augmented second agrist active stem, $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi$ -, is also used for the second agrist active infinitive, $\dot{\epsilon}i\pi\epsilon iv$: there is no unaugmented agrist active/middle stem.

DRILL 61 MAY NOW BE DONE.

§62. Aorist Passive Indicative and Infinitive of Omega Verbs

All omega verbs form the aorist passive indicative and infinitive in the same way.

Aorist Passive Indicative of Omega Verbs

To form the aorist passive indicative of an omega verb:

- 1. take the augmented aorist passive stem by removing the -ην from the sixth principal part
- 2. add the following endings

Person	Singular	Plural
1	-ην	-ημεν
2	-ης	-ητε
3	-η	-ησαν

Memorize these endings, down the singular and then down the plural, and be prepared to recite them quickly.

5. Since principal part 6 is the first person singular aorist passive indicative, it already has an augment.

Chapter 6 158

Thus, the agrist passive indicative conjugation of $\pi\alpha\omega$ is:

Augmented Aorist Passive Stem: ἐπαυθ-

0.	1
Sing	11/01
OLLIE	PLIPLI

1	ἐπαύθην	I was stopped
2	έπαύθης	you were stopped
3	ἐπαύθη	he, she, it was stopped

Plural		
1	έπαύθημεν	we were stopped
2	ἐπαύθητε	you (pl.) were stopped
3	έπαύθησαν	they were stopped

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The accent on finite verb forms is recessive.
- 2. Verbs having first agrists and verbs having second agrists form the agrist passive in the same way.

Aorist Passive Infinitive of Omega Verbs

To form the agrist passive infinitive of an omega verb:

- 1. take the unaugmented aorist passive stem by removing the -ην and the augment from the sixth principal part
- 2. add the aorist passive infinitive ending ηναι

For example:

Unaugmented Aorist Passive Stem: $\pi \alpha v \theta$ -

Aorist Passive Infinitive

παυθήναι

to be stopped (once)

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The accent on the agrist passive infinitive is persistent on the penult. Memorize the accent AS PART OF THE ENDING. The final diphthong -αι counts as short for purposes of accent.
- 2. An aorist passive infinitive does not always indicate past time, but always indicates simple aspect.

§63. Synopsis 2: Present, Imperfect, Future, and Aorist Indicative; Present, Future, and Aorist Infinitives

Here is a model synopsis for $\alpha \rho \chi \omega$ in the second person plural. It includes the agrist indicative and infinitives.

Principal Parts: ἄρχω, ἄρξω, ἦρξα, ἦρχα, ἦργμαι, ἤρχθην Person and Number: 2nd pl.

Active	Middle	Passive
ἄρχετε	ἄρχεσθε	ἄρχεσθε
you (pl.) are ruling	you (pl.) are beginning	you (pl.) are being ruled
ἤρχετε you (pl.) were ruling	ἤρχεσθε you (pl.) were beginning	ἥρχεσθε you (pl.) were being ruled
ἄρξετε	ἄρξεσθε	ἀρχθήσεσθε
you (pl.) will rule	you (pl.) will begin	you (pl.) will be ruled
ἤρξατε	ἥρξασθε	ἥρχθητε
you (pl.) ruled	you (pl.) began	you (pl.) were ruled
ἄρχειν	ἄρχεσθαι	ἄρχεσθαι
to rule (repeatedly)	to begin (repeatedly)	to be ruled (repeatedly)
ἄρξειν	ἄρξεσθαι	ἀρχθήσεσθαι
to be about to rule	to be about to begin	to be about to be ruled
ἄρξαι	ἄρξασθαι	ἀρχθῆναι
to rule (once)	to begin (once)	to be ruled (once)
	ἄρχετε you (pl.) are ruling ἤρχετε you (pl.) were ruling ἄρξετε you (pl.) will rule ἤρξατε you (pl.) ruled ἄρχειν to rule (repeatedly) ἄρξειν to be about to rule	ἄρχετε you (pl.) are ruling you (pl.) are beginning ἤρχετε you (pl.) were ruling you (pl.) were beginning ἄρξετε you (pl.) will rule you (pl.) will begin ἤρξατε you (pl.) ruled ἤρξασθε you (pl.) began ἄρχειν ἄρχεσθαι to begin (repeatedly) ἄρξειν το rule (repeatedly) ἄρξεσθαι to be about to rule ἄρξαι ἄρξασθαι το begin

OBSERVATION

In a synopsis basic English translations should be given for indicative and infinitive forms.

ightharpoonup Drill 62–63 may now be done.

§64. Additional Uses of the Aorist Indicative

Ingressive Aorist

For certain verbs the simple aspect in past time of the aorist tense sometimes expresses the *moment* when something became the case or occurred. This use of the aorist is called the **Ingressive Aorist**, ⁶ and a special translation, different from that used for other tenses, may be given. For example:

ἦρχον	I was ruling	ἦρξα	I became ruler
ἐπολέμουν	they were making war	έπολέμησαν	they began a war
εἶχεν	he was possessing	ἔσχεν	he got

^{6.} The Ingressive Aorist is sometimes called the Inceptive Aorist.

Gnomic Aorist

In addition to the present tense, the agrist tense may express a timeless general truth. This use of the agrist is called the **Gnomic Agrist** ($< \gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta$, "proverb").

ό πόλεμος πολλὰς ἀνθρώποις συμφορὰς ποιεῖ/ἐποίησεν.

War makes many misfortunes for men.

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. In this sentence, the present tense (with repeated aspect) indicates that war *repeatedly* or *always* makes many misfortunes for men. The gnomic agrist (with simple aspect) uses one past occurrence to vividly express all possible occurrences: war *once* made many misfortunes for men, and this has proved to be true *always*.
- 2. The gnomic aorist is best translated with the English present tense.

■ Drill 60-64 may now be done.

§65. Deponents

Verbs whose second principal parts end in -ομαι (e.g., εἰμί, ἔσομαι, —, —, —, ——; μανθάνω, μαθήσομαι, ἔμαθον, μεμάθηκα, ——, ——) are called Partial Deponents because they lack future active forms and have future middle forms in principal part 2 (\$55). Other deponents lack all active forms and have middle voice forms only. Such verbs are called Middle Deponent Verbs. A third group of deponents has middle voice forms in most tenses but passive forms in the acrist tense. Such verbs are called Passive-in-the-Acrist Deponent Verbs. Each type of deponent is distinguished in dictionaries and in vocabulary lists by its principal parts. That is, the principal parts make clear whether a verb is a middle or a passive-in-the-acrist deponent. For example:

δέχομαι, δέξομαι, ἐδεξάμην, ——, δέδεγμαι, —— accept, receive; welcome βούλομαι, βουλήσομαι, ——, -, βεβούλημαι, ἐβουλήθην want, wish

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The first principal part of each of these verbs identifies the verb as a deponent because it ends in $-o\mu\alpha\iota$, a middle/passive personal ending, and not $-\omega$, an active personal ending. The present stem for these verbs is obtained by dropping the ending $-o\mu\alpha\iota$.
- 2. $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \mu \alpha \iota$ has neither a fourth principal part (first person singular perfect *active* indicative) nor a sixth principal part (first person singular aorist *passive* indicative). All its principal parts are in the middle voice, and it has forms in the *middle voice only:* $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \mu \alpha \iota$ is a *middle* deponent.
- 3. β oύλομαι has neither a third principal part (first person singular aorist active indicative) nor a fourth principal part (first person singular perfect active indicative). Instead of aorist middle forms, β oύλομαι has aorist passive forms with middle meanings: β oύλομαι is a passive-in-the-aorist deponent.
- 4. The ending of the third principal part of $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi o \mu \alpha \iota$, $\alpha \mu \eta \nu$, indicates that this middle deponent

has a *first* aorist. When a deponent has a third principal part that ends in $-o\mu\eta\nu$, it has a *second* aorist.

- 5. With few exceptions, middle deponents do not have passive forms and cannot have passive meanings (e.g., $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ means "he receives," not "he is being received").
- 6. The verb π είθω was originally a passive-in-the-aorist deponent and had the principal parts π είθομαι, π είσομαι, ----, ----, π έπεισμαι, ἐπείσθην. In the Attic Greek version of this verb, aorist middle tense forms do not appear, and some aorist passive forms have *middle* meanings.

Here are synopses of $\delta \acute{\epsilon} \chi o \mu \alpha \imath$ and $\beta o \acute{\nu} \lambda o \mu \alpha \imath$ in the first person plural.

	έδεξάμ	oal Parts: δέχομαι, δε ην, ——, δέδεγμαι, and Number: 1st pl		Principal Parts: βούλομαι, βουλήσομαι, ——, ——, βεβούλημαι, ἐβουλήθην Person and Number: 1st pl.			
Indicative	Active	Middle	Passive	Active	Middle	Passive	
Present	-	δεχόμεθα we are receiving		1	βουλόμεθα we are wanting		
Imperfect		ἐδεχόμεθα we were receiving		(Parameter)	ἐβουλόμεθα we were wanting		
Future		δεξόμεθα we shall receive		1	βουλησόμεθα we shall want		
Aorist	-	έδεξάμεθα we received				έβουλήθημεν we wanted	
<i>Infinitives</i> Present		δέχεσθαι to be receiving		8 	βούλεσθαι to be wanting		
Future	-	δέξεσθαι to be about to rece	ive	**************************************	βουλήσεσθαι to be about to want		
Aorist		δέξασθαι to receive (once)				βουληθῆναι to want (once)	

DRILL 65 MAY NOW BE DONE.

§66. The Intensive Adjective αὐτός, αὐτή, αὐτό

The declension of αὐτός, αὐτή, αὐτό, "-self," "very"; "same," is identical with the declension of ἐκεῖνος, ἐκεῖνο:

Chapter 6

		Singular			Plural	
Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc.	Μ.αὐτόςαὐτοῦαὐτῷαὐτόν	F. αὐτή αὐτῆς αὐτῆ αὐτήν	N. αὐτό αὐτοῦ αὐτῷ · αὐτό	Μ.αὐτοίαὐτῶναὐτοῖςαὐτούς	F. αὐταί αὐτῶν αὐταῖς αὐτάς	N. αὐτά αὐτῶν αὐτοῖς αὐτά

Memorize this declension across the singular and across the plural.

OBSERVATION

There are no vocative case forms for αὐτός, αὐτή, αὐτό.

The adjective $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$ has two distinct uses. When it appears in the *predicate* position, it intensifies nouns or pronouns and is translated "-self" or "very."

ταῦτα Γοργία αὐτῷ λέξω. αὐτοὶ οἱ θεοὶ ἀδικοῦσιν. αὐτή εἰμι ποιητής. I shall say these things to Gorgias himself. The very gods do wrong. I myself (f.) am a poet.

OBSERVATION

In the third sentence the nominative singular form $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta}$ intensifies the subject "I" expressed in the ending of the verb $\epsilon i \mu \iota$.

When $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$ appears in the *attributive* position *or* as a substantive with the article, it means "same."

οί αὐτοὶ πολίται καὶ εἰρήνην ἦγον καὶ πολεμεῖν ἤθελον.

The same citizens were both keeping the peace and wishing to make war.

τὰ αὐτὰ τούτῳ τῷ ποιητῆ λέγετε.

You (pl.) are saying the same things as this poet.

OBSERVATION

When a comparison is expressed with $\alpha \dot{\upsilon} \tau \dot{\varsigma}$, "same," a Dative of Reference is translated with the word "as," as in the second sentence.

When certain forms of the article join with forms of $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}s$, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$ in crasis, the resulting contractions must be carefully analyzed. One may compare, for example, these similar forms:

auἀτά (= τὰ αὐτά) the same things (subj. or d.o.) ταῦτα these things (subj. or d.o.) αὐτή (= ἡ αὐτή) the same woman (subj.) αΰτη this woman (subj.)

When the neuter singular nominative and accusative form of $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o} \varsigma$ appears in crasis with the article, it usually ends in -ov rather than -o. Thus, both $\tau \dot{o}$ $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$ and $\tau \alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o} v$ mean "the same thing" (subj. or d.o.).

DRILL 66 MAY NOW BE DONE.

§67. Personal Pronouns and Possessive Adjectives

A pronoun is a word used instead of a noun, and a **personal pronoun** represents the speaker(s) or writer(s) (I, we), the one(s) spoken to (you, you [pl.]), or the one(s) spoken about (he, she, it, they). Like nouns, personal pronouns in Greek are declined. These declensions are somewhat irregular and must be memorized.

Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc.	First Person Singular ἐγώ ἐμοῦ, μου ἐμοί, μοι ἐμέ, με	I of me to/for me me (d.o.)	Second Person Singular σύ σοῦ, σου σοί, σοι σέ, σε	you of you to/for you you (d.o.)
Nom. Gen. Dat. Acc.	Plural ἡμεῖς ἡμῶν ἡμῖν ἡμᾶς	we of us to/for us us (d.o.)	Plural - - - - - - - - - - - - -	you (pl.) of you (pl.) to/for you (pl.) you (pl.) (d.o.)

MEMORIZE THESE DECLENSIONS DOWN THE SINGULAR AND DOWN THE PLURAL.

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. There are no vocative case forms for personal pronouns.
- 2. The unaccented alternate forms given in the genitive, dative, and accusative of $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\dot{\omega}$ and $\sigma\dot{v}$ are monosyllabic enclitics. The accented forms are more emphatic than the enclitic forms.
- 3. The enclitic particle $\gamma \epsilon$ is frequently attached directly to the nominative and dative singular forms of the first person personal pronoun. The resulting words have *recessive* accents:

έγωγε	I, at least; I	
ἔμοιγε	to me, at least; to <i>me</i>	

4. Since finite verb forms in Greek indicate the person and number of the subject by their endings, the nominative case forms of the personal pronouns are used only to give added emphasis to the subject.

ἐγὼ λέγω, οὐ σύ. I am speaking, not you.

5. Especially after personal or demonstrative pronouns, the particle $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ appears but is not followed by a balancing $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$. This usage is called $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ solitarium ($\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ alone), and a simple $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ clause is implied but not expressed.

ἐγὼ μὲν Ἀλέξανδρον πολλοῦ ἀξιῶ. I, on the one hand, think Alexander worthy of much. (You/others, on the other hand, may not.)

6. When the genitive case forms of personal pronouns are used as Genitives of Possession, they appear in the *predicate* position. The enclitic forms in the first and second person singular are common.

```
    ὁ φίλος μου
    οἱ παίδες ἡμῶν
    τὴν ἀρετήν σου
    τοὺς συμμάχους ὑμῶν
    the friend (subj.) of me, my friend (subj.)
    the children (subj.) of us, our children (subj.)
    the virtue (d.o.) of you, your virtue (d.o.)
    the allies (d.o.) of you (pl.), your (pl.) allies (d.o.)
```

Although the personal pronouns in the predicate position may be used as Genitives of Possession, there are also **possessive adjectives** that may be used in the *attributive* position to express possession. These adjectives are all regularly declined first-second-declension adjectives.

```
      ἐμός, ἐμή, ἐμόν
      my

      ἡμέτερος, ἡμετέρᾶ, ἡμέτερον
      our

      σός, σή, σόν
      your

      ὑμέτερος, ὑμετέρᾶ, ὑμέτερον
      your (pl.)
```

```
    ὁ ἐμὸς φίλος my friend (subj.)
    οἱ ἡμέτεροι παῖδες our children (subj.)
    τὴν σὴν ἀρετήν your virtue (d.o.)
    τοὺς ὑμετέρους συμμάχους your (pl.) allies (d.o.)
```

The third person personal pronoun in Greek is supplied by forms of the intensive adjective $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o} \varsigma$, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{o}$, but the *nominative case forms are not used*.

		Singular			Plural	
	M.	F.	N.	M.	F.	N.
Nom. Gen.	αὐτοῦ of him	αὐτῆς of her	 αὐτοῦ of it	— αὐτῶν of them (m.)	— αὐτῶν of them (f.)	— αὐτῶν of them (n.)
Dat.	αὐτῷ to him	αὐτῆ to her	αὐτῷ to it	αὐτοῖς to them (m.)	αὐταῖς to them (f.)	αὐτοῖς to them (n.)
Acc.	αὐτόν him	αὐτήν her	αὐτό it	αὐτούς them (m.)	αὐτάς them (f.)	αὐτά them (n.)

MEMORIZE THIS DECLENSION ACROSS THE SINGULAR AND ACROSS THE PLURAL.

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. Nominative case forms of the third person personal pronoun are supplied by the nominative forms of the demonstrative pronouns, \hat{ovtoc} , $\delta\delta\epsilon$, and $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\hat{ivoc}$, but these pronouns retain their demonstrative force. Thus, $\hat{\epsilon}\kappa\hat{\epsilon}\hat{ivoc}$ means "that man" rather than "he."
- 2. The genitive case forms $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{o} \hat{v}$, $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{o} \hat{v}$, and $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$ may be used to express possession in the *predicate* position.

τοῖς φίλοις αὐτοῦ τὴν ψῦχὴν αὐτῆς αἱ δόξαι αὐτῶν for the friends of him, for his friends the soul (d.o.) of her, her soul (d.o.)

the opinions (subj.) of them, their opinions (subj.)

There is no third person possessive adjective in Attic Greek, but the genitives of the demonstrative pronouns may be used in the attributive position instead of the personal pronouns in the predicate position.

τοῖς τούτου φίλοις τὴν τῆσδε ψῦχήν αἱ ἐκείνων δόξαι for the friends of this man the soul (d.o.) of this woman the opinions (subj.) of those men

Summary of Possession

Attributive Position possessive adjectives; demonstrative pronouns

genitives of personal pronouns

ıst person

έμός, έμή, έμόν ήμέτερος, ήμετέρα, ήμέτερον έμου/μου, ήμων

Predicate Position

2nd person

σός, σή, σόν

ὑμέτερος, ὑμετέρα, ὑμέτερον

σοῦ/σου, ὑμῶν

3rd person

τούτου, ταύτης, τούτου, τούτων ἐκείνου, ἐκείνης, ἐκείνου, ἐκείνων τοῦδε, τῆσδε, τοῦδε, τῶνδε αὐτοῦ, αὐτῆς, αὐτοῦ, αὐτῶν

DRILL 67 MAY NOW BE DONE.

§68. The Adjectives πᾶς, πᾶσα, πᾶν and ἄπᾶς, ἄπᾶσα, ἄπαν

The adjectives $\pi \hat{a}\varsigma$, $\pi \hat{a}\sigma a$, $\pi \hat{a}\nu$, "all," "every"; "whole," and $\mathring{a}\pi \tilde{a}\varsigma$, $\mathring{a}\pi \tilde{a}\sigma a$, $\mathring{a}\pi a\nu$, "(quite) all," "every"; "whole," have third-declension endings in the masculine and neuter and first-declension short-alpha endings in the feminine.

		Singular			Singular	
Nom./Voc.	πᾶς	πᾶσα	παν	ἄπᾶς	ἄπᾶσα	ἄπαν
Gen.	παντός	πάσης	παντός	ἄπαντος	ἁπάσης	ἄπαντος
Dat.	παντί	πάση	παντί	ἄπαντι	ἁπάση	ἄπαντι
Acc.	πάντα	πᾶσαν	παν	ἄπαντα	ἄπᾶσαν	ἄπαν
1100.		Plural			Plural	
Nom./Voc.	πάντες	πασαι	πάντα	ἄπαντες	ἄπᾶσαι	ἄπαντα
Gen.	πάντων	πασων	πάντων	ἁπάντων	ἁπᾶσῶν	ἁπάντων
Dat.	πᾶσι(ν)	πάσαις	πᾶσι(ν)	ἅπᾶσι(ν)	ἁπᾶσαις	ἄπᾶσι(ν)
Acc.	πάντας	πάσᾶς	πάντα	ἄπαντας	ἀπάσ ᾶς	äπαντα

MEMORIZE THESE DECLENSIONS, PAYING PARTICULAR ATTENTION TO ACCENTS.

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. The stem of $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ in the masculine and neuter is $\pi \alpha \nu \tau$ and is found in the genitive singular. The stem of $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ in the feminine is $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma$ -. The ending of the masculine and neuter plural dative has a long alpha because of the loss of $-\nu \tau$ and compensatory lengthening: $*\pi \acute{\alpha} \nu \tau \sigma \iota(\nu) > *\pi \acute{\alpha} \nu \sigma \iota(\nu)$.
- 2. The accent on $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$, $\pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$, $\pi \hat{\alpha} v$ is persistent on the penult except in the masculine and neuter singular genitive and dative, where it is an acute on the ultima, and in the feminine plural genitive plural, where it is a circumflex on the ultima.
- 3. The stem of $\ddot{\alpha}\pi \bar{\alpha}\varsigma$ in the masculine and neuter is $\dot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\nu\tau$. The stem of $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\bar{\alpha}\varsigma$ in the feminine is $\dot{\alpha}\pi\bar{\alpha}\sigma$. The ending of the masculine and neuter plural dative has a long alpha because of the loss of $-\nu\tau$ and compensatory lengthening: $\ddot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\nu\tau\sigma\iota(\nu) > \ddot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\nu\sigma\sigma\iota(\nu) > \ddot{\alpha}\pi\alpha\nu\sigma\iota(\nu) > \ddot{\alpha}\pi\bar{\alpha}\sigma\iota(\nu)$.
- 5. Although $\ddot{a}\pi \bar{a}\varsigma$ is an emphatic form of $\pi \hat{a}\varsigma$, this emphasis need not always be conveyed in translation.

 $\pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ or $\mathring{\alpha} \pi \bar{\alpha} \varsigma$ most often appears in the *predicate* position and means "every" (with singulars) and "all" (with plurals and collective singulars).

τὴν ὁδὸν ἄπᾶσαν every road (d.o.)
πάντας τοὺς πολίτᾶς all the citizens (d.o.)
παντὶ τῷ δήμῳ for all the people
ἄπᾶσαι αὶ τέχναι quite all the arts (subj.)

 $\pi \hat{a} \varsigma$ or $\mathring{a} \pi \bar{a} \varsigma$ may also appear with a noun without the article.

πᾶσιν ἄρχουσι for all rulers

ἐξ ἄπαντος λόγου (resulting) from every reason

When $\pi \hat{a} \varsigma$ or $\mathring{a} \pi \bar{a} \varsigma$ appears in the attributive position, it means "whole" or "as a whole."

τὸν πάντα λόγον the whole speech (d.o.) οἱ πάντες ελληνες the Greeks as a whole (subj.)

 $\pi \hat{a} \varsigma$ and $\mathring{a}\pi \check{a} \varsigma$ are frequently used substantively, and this substantive use appears in certain common prepositional phrases.

πάντα all things (subj. or d.o.) τὸ πᾶν the whole (subj. or d.o.) εἰς (τὸ) πᾶν with a view to the whole thing; altogether; in general

DRILL 68 MAY NOW BE DONE.

§69. Indirect Statement 1

In both English and Greek it is possible to report the words of another *directly*. In English this is called **direct quotation**, and in Greek **direct statement**.

Gorgias says, "The young men wish to be taught." ὁ Γοργίας λέγει· Οἱ νεᾶνίαι διδάσκεσθαι ἐθέλουσιν.

The allies say the following things: "We are obeying the laws of the Athenians." οἱ σύμμαχοι λέγουσι τάδε· Τοῖς τῶν Ἀθηναίων νόμοις πειθόμεθα.

OBSERVATION

When a speaker's exact words are quoted in English, the quotation is preceded by a comma or a colon and is set off by quotation marks. In Greek a direct quotation is also usually preceded by a comma or a colon. The first word of a direct quotation is often capitalized, but quotation marks are only sometimes used.

It is also possible to report the words of another *indirectly*. This is called **indirect quotation** in English and **indirect statement** in Greek.

Gorgias says *that* the young men wish to be taught.

ό Γοργίας λέγει ὅτι οἱ νεᾶνίαι διδάσκεσθαι ἐθέλουσιν.

The allies say that they are obeying the laws of the Athenians. οἱ σύμμαχοι λέγουσιν ὡς τοῖς τῶν Ἀθηναίων νόμοις πείθονται.

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. In indirect quotation in English, no comma or colon is used. The subordinating conjunction "that" introduces the indirect quotation, and a change of person sometimes occurs: "We" becomes "they" in the second sentence.
- 2. In the type of indirect statement in Greek that most closely resembles indirect quotation in English, a subordinating conjunction—either $\delta\tau\iota$ or $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ —corresponding to the English "that" is used. As in English, no preceding punctuation or quotation marks are used, and a change of person sometimes occurs: $\pi\epsilon\iota\theta\dot{\omega}\mu\epsilon\theta\alpha$ becomes $\pi\epsilon\dot{\omega}\theta\nu\tau\alpha\iota$.
- 3. Indirect statement with $\delta\tau\iota$ or $\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ is most often introduced in Greek by verbs of saying, reporting, answering, etc. The most common such verb is $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$.

In Greek the tense and mood of the verb in an indirect statement with $\delta\tau\iota/\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ always remain unchanged from the direct statement when the introductory verb of saying is in a primary tense (present, future, or perfect). When the introductory verb of saying is in a secondary tense (imperfect, aorist, pluperfect), the tense and mood of the indirect statement often remain unchanged, but the mood may also be changed to the optative (Part 2, $\S142$). For example:

direct statement:

οί Άθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρχουσιν.

The Athenians are ruling the islands.

indirect statements: λέγει ὅτι/ὡς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρχουσιν. He says that the Athenians are ruling the islands.

έλεγεν ὅτι/ώς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρχουσιν.

He was saying that the Athenians were ruling the islands.

λέξει ὅτι/ώς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρχουσιν. He will say that the Athenians are ruling the islands.

OBSERVATIONS

1. In the first indirect statement, both the introductory verb of saying and the verb in the indirect statement are present indicative, both in Greek and in English. The saying and the ruling are occurring simultaneously (at the same time). Both actions are happening in the present.

2. In the second indirect statement, the introductory verb of saying is in the imperfect tense, but the verb in the indirect statement remains present indicative, just as in the original direct statement. This vivid representation of the tense and mood of the verb in the direct statement is usually not reproduced in English. In the English translation ἄρχουσιν is translated "were ruling" to indicate clearly that the ruling was simultaneous with the saying. Both actions were happening in the past.

3. In the third indirect statement, the introductory verb of saying is in the future tense, but the verb in the indirect statement remains present indicative, just as in the original direct statement. In the English translation ἄρχουσιν is translated "are ruling" to indicate that the ruling is

simultaneous with the saying. Both actions will happen in the future.

direct statement:

οί Άθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρξουσιν.

The Athenians will rule the islands.

indirect statements: λέγει ὅτι/ὡς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρξουσιν. He says that the Athenians will rule the islands.

έλεγεν ὅτι/ώς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρξουσιν.

He was saying that the Athenians would rule the islands.

λέξει ὅτι/ώς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἄρξουσιν. He will say that the Athenians will rule the islands.

OBSERVATION

The future tense verb in each indirect statement indicates an action subsequent to (after) the time of the introductory verb of saying. This subsequent time is indicated in the English translations by "will rule" when the verb of saying is present or future and "would rule" when the verb of saying is in the past.

direct statement:

οί Άθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἦρξαν.

The Athenians ruled the islands.

indirect statements: λέγει ὅτι/ὡς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἦρξαν. He says that the Athenians ruled the islands.

έλεγεν ὅτι/ώς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἦρξαν.

He was saying that the Athenians had ruled the islands.

λέξει ὅτι/ὡς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τῶν νήσων ἦρξαν. He will say that the Athenians *ruled* the islands.

OBSERVATION

The agrist tense verb in each indirect statement indicates an action prior to (before) the time of the introductory verb of saying. This prior time is indicated in the English translations by the simple past tense "ruled" when the verb of saying is present or future and by the pluperfect tense "had ruled" when the verb of saying is in the past.

If the verb in a direct statement is negated, the negative remains unchanged in indirect statement.

direct statement:

οί Άθηναῖοι τοῖς συμμάχοις οὐκ ἐπολέμησαν.

The Athenians did not make war on their allies.

indirect statement: λέγει ὅτι/ὡς οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι τοῖς συμμάχοις οὐκ ἐπολέμησαν.

He says that the Athenians did not make war on their allies.

In addition to reporting speech after the verb $\lambda \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \omega$, indirect statement with $\ddot{o}\tau \iota /\dot{\omega}\varsigma$ may follow other verbs and expressions of perception or intellection.

μανθάνω ὅτι/ώς...

I understand that ...

πείθομαι ὅτι/ώς

I believe that/I am persuaded that . . .

δηλόν ἐστιν ὅτι/ὡς

It is clear that . . .

δηλόω ὅτι/ώς...

I make clear that . . .

δράω ὅτι/ώς...

I see that . . .

(ὁράω, ὄψομαι, εἶδον, ἑώρᾶκα/ἑόρᾶκα, ἑώρᾶμαι/ὧμμαι, ὤφθην see)

An indirect statement introduced by $\delta \tau \iota$ or $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ and containing a finite verb is a *subordinate clause*, and thus a Greek sentence containing an indirect statement is a complex sentence (\$53). Furthermore, an indirect statement is a noun clause: A noun clause functions as an object (or sometimes as a *subject*) of an introductory verb of saying or perceiving.

λέγω ὅτι χαλεπόν ἐστιν ὁ βίος. δηλόν έστιν ὅτι ήδίκησας.

I say that life is a difficult thing. It is clear that you did wrong.

OBSERVATION

In the first sentence the indirect statement ($\delta\tau\iota...\beta io\varsigma$) is the direct object of $\lambda \acute{\epsilon}\gamma \omega$. In the second sentence the indirect statement ($\delta\tau\iota$ $\dot{\eta}\delta \acute{\epsilon}\kappa\eta\sigma\alpha\varsigma$) is the subject of $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau\iota v$, and $\delta \dot{\eta}\lambda ov$ is a predicate adjective in the neuter singular nominative: "That you did wrong is clear."

Sometimes an indirect statement is introduced by a verb in the passive voice.

ἐπείσθην ὅτι δεῖ πολεμεῖν.

I was persuaded that it was necessary to make war.

διδασκόμεθα ώς καὶ οἱ θεοὶ ἀδικοῦσιν.

We are being taught that even the gods do wrong.

Such indirect statements are best understood as noun clauses analogous to Retained Accusatives. (For the Retained Accusative see the vocabulary note on $\delta\iota\delta\acute{a}\sigma\kappa\omega$, p. 54.)

DRILL 69 MAY NOW BE DONE.

§70. The Binary Construction (Prolepsis)

Sometimes a verb that has an indirect statement as a direct object has a *second direct object* that is placed *before* the indirect statement and is someone or something that appears in or is referred to by the indirect statement. For example:

άρ' ἐκεῖνον ὁρᾶς, ὅτι ἀδικεῖ;

Do you see that man, that he is doing wrong?

Do you see that that man is doing wrong?

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. In this sentence the verb $\delta \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ has two direct objects, the demonstrative pronoun $\dot{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} v o v$ and the indirect statement $\dot{\sigma} \tau_i \, \dot{\alpha} \delta_i \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$, which is a noun clause. The subject of the indirect statement is emphasized by being expressed *before* the indirect statement as the direct object of the main verb.
- 2. In the second translation the direct object ἐκεῖνον is translated as the subject of the indirect statement.
- 3. The binary construction may also be described as **anticipation**, or **prolepsis** ($\pi\rho\delta\lambda\eta\psi\iota\varsigma$, "taking before"), since the direct object of the verb *anticipates* the subject of the indirect statement.

§71. Dative of the Possessor

The dative case may be used to indicate the *person who possesses* something. This use is an extension of the case's referential function, and a dative so used is called a **Dative of the Possessor**. For example:

τῷ δικαίῳ ἐστὶν ὁ βίος τῶν θεῶν.

To the just man there is the life of the gods.

The just man has the life of the gods.

τούτω τῷ ἀνθρώπω ἦν πολλὰ ἀγαθά.

To this man there were many good things.

This man had many good things.

The syntax of each italicized word ($\delta \iota \kappa \alpha \iota \omega$, $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$) is Dative of the Possessor.

OBSERVATIONS

- 1. In a sentence containing a Dative of the Possessor, the thing *possessed* appears in the nominative case as the subject of the verb.
- 2. In each of the second, less literal translations, the Dative of the Possessor is translated into English as the *subject* of the verb "have," and the thing possessed is translated as the *direct object*.