GreatHearts[®]

Humane Letters 11: Ancient Greece

April 6 – April 9

Time Allotment: 80 minutes per day

OFFICE HOURS

Mr. Funes:

Tuesday & Thursday 10:00-10:50 AM

Dr. Shaeffer:

Monday & Wednesday 10:00-10:50 AM, 11-11:50 AM, and 1-1:50 PM

GreatHearts[®]

Packet Overview

Date	Objective (s)	Pg. #
Monday, April 6	 Recall Aristotle's key terms and explain how they relate to his argument that happiness is that towards which all human actions aim. Identify the three claimants to the happy human life. Restate a key paragraph from the reading. 	2
Tuesday, April 7	 Restate Aristotle's definition of happiness. Using the key terms presented in Book 1, provide an explanation of why Aristotle believes human beings alone are capable of happiness. Discover an argument as to what Book 1's thesis is and arrange three supporting texts, then construct a brief defense of that outline. 	4
Wednesday, April 8	 Understand what Aristotle means by "virtue concerns pleasure and pain." Explain Aristotle's argument that we become virtuous by acting virtuously. Identify the various passions identified by Aristotle. 	6
Thursday, April 9	 Articulate how Aristotle defines virtue at the start of Book II.6. Explain Aristotle's argument that virtue is the hitting of the mean. 	7
Friday, April 10	R&R	

Additional Notes:

- i. Assignments should be completed preferably in (a) a notebook or loose-leaf paper, but if you do not have access to these, you may type your work.
- ii. Whether your write your assignments or type them, be sure to include the following:
 - a. Place an MLA formatted four-part header at the top of a new assignment page (this is what you have always done for the essay header)
 - b. Start each day's assignment at the top of a new page
 - c. Designate and title each assignment with a day of the week in all caps, followed by the date (e.g. MONDAY, April 6)
 - d. If typing, use double-spacing, Times New Roman, and 12 pt. Font
- iii. If not in a notebook, keep all your written work in a folder (physical folder if written, digital folder if typed).
- iv. A copy of the text is included at the end of this packet, but please use the physical copy if you already have it (ISBN: 978-0-87220-464-5)

Academic Honesty

I certify that I completed this assignment independently in accordance with the GHNO Academy Honor Code.

Student signature:

I certify that my student completed this assignment independently in accordance with the GHNO Academy Honor Code.

Parent signature:

GreatHearts[®]

Monday, April 6

Literature Unit: Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics

Lesson 1:

- □ Read and annotate Book 1, chapters 1-6 (40 min)
- □ Complete guiding questions (20 min)
- □ Complete paraphrase writing exercise (20 min)

<u>Unit Overview</u>

You will now embark on a study of Aristotle's *Nicomachean Ethics*, a text that greatly develops the intrinsic connection between two ideas we have been discussing all year: goodness and happiness. Before jumping into the assignments, below are a few introductory notes that will help prepare you for a fruitful reading of the text.

Biography: Aristotle was born in 384 B.C. in Stagirus, located in the Greek city-state Chalcidice. His father, Nicomachus, was a respected physical who practice in the Macedonian court of King Philip and later Alexander. At 17 Aristotle moved to Athens to study at Plato's Academy, and then after Plato's death, Aristotle left Athens (sometime in 348/47 B.C.) and eventually settled in Atarneus, where he opened a school. Two to three years later he founded another school in Mytilene on the island of Lesbos.

About two years after founding the Mytilenean school he was commissioned by Philip of Macedon to tutor his son Alexander (who is famously known as Alexander the Great), and tutored him for about 3 years until Alexander entered political life at the age of sixteen. At this point, Aristotle returned to his birthplace, Stagirus, which had been rebuilt by Alexander in honor of Aristotle. In 335/334 B.C. Aristotle returned to Athens in order to found his own research academy (the Lyceum!), and remained there until 323 when the death of Alexander provoked revolts in Athens. Due to his close association with Macedon, Athens accused Aristotle of impiety and was forced to flee with his family. Aristotle is believed to have remarked upon his leaving Athens that he would not permit Athens to sin against philosophy twice. He died in Chalcis soon after in November 322 B.C. He was sixty-two years old.

The *Nicomachean Ethics* was probably named in honor of his son and father, both named Nicomachus.

In his lifetime, Aristotle is believed to have produced over two hundred treatises, about thirty-one of which survive. What we do have of his writings are only summaries of the lectures he delivered at his schools on subjects ranging from logic and rhetoric to metaphysics, poetry, psychology, biology, politics, etc. So it is important to keep in mind whenever reading any of Aristotle's works that what we are reading of his are only revised summaries that are probably not in their original forms.

Introduction: Near the beginning of his work Aristotle makes clear that he is not writing this work of ethics to convince someone who is immoral to live a life of virtue, but rather, he is writing for individuals who have been brought up well and who seek to hit the target of the good life in their choices with greater ease: "our present discussion does not aim, as our others do, at study; for the purpose of our examination is not to know what virtue is, but to become good,

Humane Letters 11: Ancient Greece

April 6-9

GreatHearts[®]

since otherwise the inquiry would be of no benefit to us. And so we must examine the right ways of acting; for, as we have said, the actions also control the sorts of states we acquire" (1103b30).

We recommend that you should do the following before reading:

- Take a look at the table of contents and see the outline of the whole and how each book is arranged by chapter and referenced by line number.
- Whenever you cite the book, the proper citation form uses line numbers rather than page number, e.g., 1094a11.
- Note that the beginning of this work is at 1094a—the reason is because *Nicomachean Ethics* is part of Aristotle's larger body of work. For example, read the last paragraph of Book X, where Aristotle directs the reader to see the *Nicomachean Ethics* as the first part of a larger study called the *Politics*.

As you read Book 1, pay close attention to what Aristotle says happiness is. Also, Aristotle will define many key terms as he moves along, such as *means* and *ends* and *function*. As you are keeping track of these terms, try to understand the relationship they have to each other.

Objective:

- 1. Recall Aristotle's key terms and explain how they relate to his argument that happiness is that towards which all human actions aim.
- 2. Identify the three claimants to the happy human life.
- 3. Restate a key paragraph from the reading.

I. SOCRATIC Question: Answer this question <u>BEFORE</u> reading (2-3 sentences):

1. What is happiness? In your own words, define what happiness is.

II. GRAMMAR Questions: Answer these questions <u>WHILE</u> reading and annotating (1-3

sentences):

- 2. Put into your own words the first sentence of Chapter 1.
- 3. Refer to the Glossary and define the two different kinds of ends Aristotle mentions.
- 4. Refer to the Glossary and briefly define *politike*.
- 5. Look up *arete* in the Glossary and write down a brief definition. Is this understanding of virtue different from the one you originally had? How so?

III. LOGIC Questions: Answer these <u>AFTER</u> reading (2-4 sentences).

- 6. What is the relationship between the master sciences and subordinate sciences (1094a9-19)?
- 7. What is the relationship of politics to ethics?

Humane Letters 11: Ancient Greece

April 6-9

GreatHearts[®]

- 8. Aristotle employs the metaphor of an archer shooting at a target (1094a25). How does it relate to the subject under consideration? In other words, what is the student of ethics aiming for? Keep this metaphor in mind for the remainder of the text.
- 9. Keep a list of the various views of happiness and the highest good in Chapters 4 and 5.

IV. RHETORIC: Paraphrase Writing Exercise

- (1) After you finish reading and annotating the entire assignment, go back and look carefully at one of the two paragraphs designated below. Re-read every sentence slowly, phrase by phrase, word by word. Notice as many details as possible.
- (2) Next, put what Aristotle has said into your own words while preserving as much of the meaning in the original text as possible. Your paraphrase will be shorter than the original but try to capture with as much accuracy as possible precisely what Aristotle is trying to say but stated in more plain language. Perhaps imagine you are trying to explain what Aristotle is saying in the paragraph to a 10th grader who has not read the *Ethics* yet.
- (3) <u>Special direction for composition</u>: the number of sentences you will be asked to write will be determined for you, likely fewer than you would naturally. The aim is to encourage you to write more complex sentences than you might normally. You may need to use compound sentences (a sentence containing two subjects and two verbs, i.e. two independent clauses, connected by the appropriate punctuation or combination of punctuation with a conjunction), subordinate clauses (often beginning with "which," "that," "who," or the like), prepositional phrases, etc. The sentences might get complicated, so it is important to make sure they are grammatically sound when you go back and proofread your paraphrase.
- (4) This exercise should take approximately 20 minutes and produce at least two-hundred words. To give you a sense of how long that is, the previous three paragraphs are 220 words.

Write a five sentence paraphrase on one of the following passages: Passage #1 - *Ethics* I.4 1095a14-31 [i.e. the first paragraph of Book I, chapter 4] Passage #2 - *Ethics* I.5 1095b19-1096a10 [i.e. the second paragraph of Book I, chapter 5]

Tuesday, April 7

Literature Unit: Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics

Lesson 1:

- □ Read and annotate Book 1, chapters 7 10 (40 min)
- \Box complete guiding questions (10 min)
- \Box complete assigned thesis and its developments exercise (30 min)

Objective:

- 1. Restate Aristotle's definition of happiness.
- 2. Using the key terms presented in Book 1, provide an explanation of why Aristotle believes human beings alone are capable of happiness.
- 3. Discover an argument as to what Book 1's thesis is and arrange three supporting texts, then construct a brief defense of that outline.

I. SOCRATIC Question: Answer this question <u>BEFORE</u> reading (2-3 sentences):

Humane Letters 11: Ancient Greece

April 6-9

GreatHearts[®]

1. In Chapter 7, Aristotle says that the good of man is something, complete, final, and self-sufficient. What do you think fits this description, in other words, what *is* final, complete, and self-sufficient and therefore the highest end toward which every human choice aims?

II. GRAMMAR Questions: Answer these questions <u>WHILE</u> reading and annotating (1-3 sentences):

- 2. How does Aristotle define happiness?
- 3. How does he define self-sufficient?
- 4. Memorize: "the human function is activity of the soul in accord with reason or requiring reason" (1098a5).
- 5. At the beginning of chapter 9, Aristotle ask a question reminiscent of what Meno asks at the beginning of the *Meno* and provides several possibilities for how virtue might be acquired. Keep a list of these.

III. LOGIC Questions: Answer these <u>AFTER</u> reading (2-4 sentences).

6. Why are human beings, alone of all creatures, capable of happiness? In your response, use the words *reason, function, happiness, the good, virtue, nature, means and ends*—these are all terms Aristotle has introduced, so try to summarize the relationship of each to the others in your response.

IV. RHETORIC: "Thesis" and Its Developments Exercise

After you finish reading and annotating the entire assignment, reflect on the whole of Book 1. Before looking back into the text, consider your own articulation of the whole of Book I in simple terms. Perhaps think about that 10th grader again. Now, re-search Book I to find what you think to be Aristotle's articulation of the whole of Book I. Write out that 1-3 sentence "thesis "sentence.

Next, do that same activity, but narrow the scope. Do the activity for three different chapters. Choose any three chapters in Book I that you think contain some of his essential developments of the previously chosen "thesis" quote. Then, write out those quotations with citations. After each of these three quotations, in 2-3 sentences explain the way Aristotle is developing the "Book I Thesis." This assignment should take approximately 20 minutes. Use your annotations to support your re-search for the quotations.

The format may look like the following:

Book I Thesis: "[insert quote here]" ([insert citation here]).

Development #1: "[insert quotation here]" ([insert citation here]). [Explain how this develops the "Book I Thesis" here].

Development #2: "[insert quotation here]" ([insert citation here]). [Explain how this develops the "Book I Thesis" here].

Humane Letters 11: Ancient Greece April 6-9



Development #3: "[insert quotation here]" ([insert citation here]). [Explain how this develops the "Book I Thesis" here].

Wednesday, April 8

Literature Unit: Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics

Lesson 1:

- \Box Read and annotate Book 2, chapters 1-5 (40 min)
- \Box complete guiding questions (20 min)
- \Box complete assigned paraphrase writing exercise (20 min)

Objective:

- 1. Understand what Aristotle means by "virtue concerns pleasure and pain."
- 2. Explain Aristotle's argument that we become virtuous by acting virtuously.
- 3. Identify the various passions identified by Aristotle.

I. SOCRATIC Question: Answer this question <u>BEFORE</u> reading (2-3 sentences):

1. How are virtue and vice acquired? Give a few examples of virtues and vices and describe how each is acquired.

II. GRAMMAR Questions: Answer these questions <u>WHILE</u> reading and annotating (1-3 sentences):

- 2. What is the etymology of *ethics*?
- 3. Looking at 1103a25, what is the difference between nature and habit? In your explanation, include the two examples Aristotle provides.
- 4. Early on Aristotle distinguishes virtue from other capacities, such as when he distinguishes how virtue is acquired as opposed to sight. He uses some key terms in his discussion that you should look up in the Glossary and briefly define:
 - a. Dynamis, energeia, and techne.
- 5. What are some of the general characteristics of virtue that Aristotle lists in Book 2? Provide examples of the destruction of virtue through excess, and some examples of the destruction of virtue through deficiency (look at 1104a10-25).
- 6. What are the three factors that determine choice and avoidance? (1104b30)
- 7. Keep a list of the various passions identified by Aristotle.

III. LOGIC Questions: Answer these <u>AFTER</u> reading (2-4 sentences).

- 8. Is knowledge necessary for the practice of virtue?
- 9. What role do pleasure and pain play in the formation of virtue? Give some examples to illustrate your claim.



IV. RHETORIC: *Post-Reading Free-Write*

After you finish reading and annotating the entire assignment, respond in writing to one of the suggested "Opening Questions" (that is, the type of question with which we might "open" a seminar). Use the question as a starting point for your own thinking about Aristotle. The idea is for you to begin understanding Aristotle in order to start thinking along with him.

Your response should be based on what is stated in the text, but you do not need to use quotations. You should, however, include a citation (by line number, when appropriate; such as 1098a7) when you have something specific from the text in mind.

You should write at least 200 words. To get a sense of how long that is, the previous two paragraphs are 117 words, so aim for about twice that. This assignment should take 15-20 minutes.

Opening Question

Aristotle claims that it makes a considerable difference whether one habit or another is formed in us from early childhood (1103b23-25). If one must already have developed habits, why is this study Aristotle offers necessary? In other words, is this not a circular argument: one must possess virtue to gain virtue?

Thursday, April 9

Literature Unit: Aristotle's Nicomachean Ethics

Lesson 1:

- □ Read and annotate Book 2, chapters 6-9 (40 min)
- \Box complete guiding questions (10 min)
- □ complete post-read "opening question" writing exercise (30 min)

Objective:

- 1. Articulate how Aristotle defines virtue at the start of Book II.6.
- 2. Explain Aristotle's argument that virtue is the hitting of the mean.

I. SOCRATIC Question:

1. What is the difference between character and habit? Do you think that character is ever set, and if so, at what age do you think character is set? If you're really interested, answer this one, too: If one knows what is right, will that be enough to develop virtue?

II. GRAMMAR Questions: Answer these questions <u>WHILE</u> reading and annotating (1-3

sentences):

- 2. Aristotle defines virtue by providing its genus and differentia. Restate his definition of virtue.
- 3. What does Aristotle mean when he says we ought to choose the middle term *relative* to us?
- 4. What are the three emotions and three actions that do not have a mean and are therefore always wrong?



- 5. As you read chapter 7, keep a list of some of the virtue and their associated vices.
- 6. In chapter 9, Aristotle provides advice for us as agents. What is his advice?

III. LOGIC Questions: Answer these <u>AFTER</u> reading (2-4 sentences).

- 7. Remember the three emotions and actions that do not have a mean and are therefore always wrong—why are they always wrong? What about killing someone in self-defense?
- 8. Why is it so difficult to act virtuously? IN other words, if we have the capacity for virtue by our nature, what interferes with the development of good habits?

IV. RHETORIC: "Thesis" and Its Developments Exercise

After you finish reading and annotating the entire assignment, reflect on the whole of Book II. Before looking back into the text, consider how you would articulate the whole of Book II in simple terms. Perhaps think about articulating it to a 10th grader. Now, re-search Book II to find what you think to be Aristotle's articulation of the whole of Book II. Write out that 1-3 sentence "thesis" quote.

Next, do that same activity, but narrow the scope. Do the activity for three different chapters. Choose any three chapters in Book II that you think contain some of his essential developments of the previously chosen "thesis" quote. Then, write out those quotations with citations. After each of these three quotations, in 2-3 sentences explain the way Aristotle is developing the "Book II Thesis." This assignment should take approximately 20 minutes. Use your annotations to support your re-search for the quotations.

The format may look like the following: **Book II Thesis:** "[insert quotation here]" ([insert citation here]).

Development #1: "[insert quotation here]" ([insert citation here]). [Explain how this develops the

"Book II Thesis" here].

Development #2: "[insert quotation here]" ([insert citation here]). [Explain how this develops the "Book II Thesis" here]

"Book II Thesis" here].

Development #3: "[insert quotation here]" ([insert citation here]). [Explain how this develops the "Book II Thesis" here].

Friday, April 10 Break

\mathbf{S}
Ľ
5
L
Ш
\vdash
Ζ
$\overline{\frown}$
\mathbf{O}
()

Preface.....ix

Abbrev	Abbreviations and Conventionsxi
Introdu	Introduction
Nicoma	Nicomachean Ethics1
Book I	[Happiness]1
1. []	Ends and Goods]1
2.	The Highest Good and Political Science]1
3.	The Method of Political Science]2
4. [0	[Common Beliefs]
5. [The Three Lives]4
6. [The Platonic Form of the Good]5
7. [An Account of the Human Good]7
8. []	Defense of the Account of the Good]10
9. []	[How Is Happiness Achieved?]12
10. [[Can We Be Happy during Our Lifetime?]13
11. []	[How Happiness Can Be Affected after One's Death]15
12. []	[Praise and Honor]15
13. []	[Introduction to the Virtues]16
Book II	Book II [Virtue of Character]18
1. []	[How a Virtue of Character Is Acquired]18
2. []	[Habituation]19
3. [The Importance of Pleasure and Pain]20
4. [Virtuous Actions versus Virtuous Character]22
5.	Virtue of Character: Its Genus]23
_	Virtue of Character: Its Differentia]23
7. [The Particular Virtues of Character]25
8. []	[Relations between Mean and Extreme States]
9. []	[How Can We Reach the Mean?]29

Book III	III [Preconditions of Virtue]
1.	[Voluntary Action]
i m	ion]
4.	
5.	[Virtue and Vice Are in Our Power]37
[The]	[The Individual Virtues of Character]40
6.	[Bravery; Its Scope]40
7.	[Bravery; Its Characteristic Outlook]41
8.	[Conditions That Resemble Bravery]42
9.	[Feelings Proper to Bravery]44
10.	[Temperance; Its Scope]45
11.	[Temperance; Its Outlook]47
12.	[Intemperance]48
,	
Book IV	
1.	[Generosity]49
5	[Magnificence]53
з.	[Magnanimity]56
4.	[The Virtue Concerned with Small Honors]
ы.	[Mildness]61
6.	[Friendliness]62
7.	[Truthfulness]63
<u>%</u>	[Wit]65
9.	[Shame]66
Rook	V [[metico]
	[Varieties of Iustice]
5.	trasted with General]
з.	
4.	[Justice in Rectification]72
5.	[Justice in Exchange]74
6.	[Political Justice]77
7.	[Justice by Nature and by Law]78
8.	[Justice, Injustice, and the Voluntary]79
9.	[Puzzles about Justice and Injustice]80
10.	[Decency]
11.	[Injustice to Oneself]84

.<u>></u>

hip between Unequals]1	127
الحابة المراجع	071.
9. [Friendship in Communes]	.130
[Friendships in Political Systems]	.131
	.132
13. [Disputes in Friendships between Equals]1	134
14. [Disputes in Friendships between Unequals]1	136
Book IX13	.137
[Friends with Dissimilar Aims]	137
[Conflicts between Different Types of Friendships]	139
ss]	140
[Self-love and Friendship]	141
 6. [Friendship and Concord] 	.145
[Active Benevolence and Friendship]	.145
[Self-love and Selfishness]	146
9. [Why Are Friends Needed?]14	148
eded?]	150
11. [Friends in Good and Ill Fortune]1	151
12. [Shared Activity in Friendship]15	152
Book X [Pleasure]1	.153
1. [The Right Approach to Pleasure]1	153
	154
[Pleasure Is a Good, but Not the Good]	155
[Pleasure Is an Activity]	157
5. [Pleasures Differ in Kind]1	159
[Happiness: Further Discussion]1	162
	162
[Theoretical Study and the Other Virtues]	165
	167
9. [Moral Education]16	167
Notes	.172
Glossary3	.315
Further Reading	.355

viii

vii

	Book I, Chapter 2 §2 ARISTOTLE	
1094a 25	§2 Then does knowledge of this good carry great weight for [our] way of life, and would it make us better able, like archers who have a target to aim at, to hit the right mark?* §3 If so, we should try to grasp, in outline at any rate, what the good is, and which is its proper science or capacity. §4 It seems proper to the most controlling science—the highest ruling science.* §5 And this appears characteristic of political science. S6 For this the one that mescribes which of the science south to be stud-	
1094b 5 10	so that is the set of the most house of the set of the most household management, and rhetoric, for instance—are subordinate to it. S7 And since it uses the other sciences concerned with action,* and moreover legislates what must be done and what avoided, its end will include the ends of the other sciences, and so this will be the human good. S8 For even if the good is the same for a city as for an individual, still the good of the city is apparently a greater and more complete good to acquire and preserve. For while it is satisfactory to acquire and preserve it for a people and for cities. And so, since our line of inquiry seeks these [goods, for an individual and for a community], it is a sort of political science.*	
	3 TThe Mothed of Bolitical Coission	
15	Our discussion will be adequate if we make things perspicuous enough to accord with the subject matter; for we would not seek the same degree of exactness in all sorts of arguments alike, any more than in the products of different crafts.* \$2 Now, fine and just things, which political science examines, differ and vary so much as to seem to rest on convention only, not on nature.* \$3 But [this is not a good reason, since] goods also vary	
50	have been destroyed because they result in failly to their beopte—for some have been destroyed because of their wealth, others because of their bravery.* §4 And so, since this is our subject and these are our premises, we shall be satisfied to indicate the truth roughly and in outline; since our subject and our premises are things that hold good usually [but not uni- versally], we shall be satisfied to draw conclusions of the same sort. Each of our claims, then, ought to be accepted in the same wav [as	
25 1095a	claiming to hold good usually). For the educated person seeks exactness in each area to the extent that the nature of the subject allows; for appar- ently it is just as mistaken to demand demonstrations from a rhetorician as to accept [merely] persuasive arguments from a mathematician.* §5 Further, each person judges rightly what he knows, and is a good judge about that; hence the good judge in a given area is the person edu-	

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS

BOOK

[HAPPINESS]

[Ends and Goods]

§1 Every craft and every line of inquiry, and likewise every action and decision, seems to seek some good,* that is why some people were right to describe the good as what everything seeks.* §2 But the ends [that are sought] appear to differ; some are activities, and others are products apart from the activities.* Wherever there are ends apart from the actions, the products are by nature better than the activities.

ഗ

1094a

§3 Since there are many actions, crafts, and sciences, the ends turn out to be many as well; for health is the end of medicine, a boat of boat building, victory of generalship, and wealth of household management. §4 But some of these pursuits are subordinate to some one capacity; for instance, bridle making and every other science producing equipment for horses are subordinate to horsemanship, while this and every action in warfare are, in turn, subordinate to generalship, and in the same way other pursuits are subordinate to further ones.* In all such cases, then,* the ends of the ruling sciences are more choiceworthy than all the ends subordinate to them, since the lower ends are also pursued for the sake of the higher. §5 Here it does not matter whether the ends of the actions are the activities themselves, or something apart from them, as in the sciences we have mentioned.

10

13

7

[The Highest Good and Political Science]

§1 Suppose, then, that the things achievable by action have some end that we wish for because of itself, and because of which we wish for the other things, and that we do not choose everything because of something else—for if we do, it will go on without limit, so that desire will prove to be empty and futile. Clearly, this end will be the good, that is to say, the best good.*

20

Book I, Chapter 4 §5	⁵⁹ certainly begin from things known, but things are known in two ways, [*] for some are known to us, some known without qualification. Presumably, then, <i>we</i> ought to begin from things known to <i>us</i> . ⁵ §6 That is why we need to have been brought up in fine habits if we are to be adequate students of fine and just things, and of political questions generally. §7 For we begin from the [belief] that [something is true]; if this is apparent enough to us, we can begin without also [knowing] why [it is true].* Someone who is well brought up has the beginning, or can easily acquire them.* Someone who neither has them nor nings, or can easily acquire them.* Someone who neither has them nor well; but he who neither grasps it himself nor takes to heart what he hears from another is a useless man.'	5 rtha thread inaci	But let us begin again from the point from which we digressed.* For, it would seem, people quite reasonably reach their conception of the good, 15 i.e., of happiness, from the lives [they lead]; \$2 for there are roughly three most favored lives: the lives of gratification, of political activity, and, third, of study.*	The many, the most vulgar, would seem to conceive the good and hap- The many, the most vulgar, would seem to conceive the good and hap- piness as pleasure, and hence they also like the life they decide on is 3 In this they appear completely slavish, since the life they decide on is a life for grazing animals.* Still, they have some argument in their defense, since many in positions of power feel as Sardanapallus* felt, [and	also choose this life]. §4 The cultivated people, those active [in politics], conceive the good as honor, since this is more or less the end [normally pursued] in the political life. This, however, appears to be too superficial to be what we	25 are seeking,* for it seems to depend more on those who honor than on the	one honored, whereas we intuitively believe that the good is something of our own and hard to take from us.* §5 Further, it would seem, they pursue honor to convince themselves that they are good; at any rate, they	seek to be honored by prudent people, among people who know them, 30 and for virtue. It is clear, then, that—in their view at any rate—virtue is	superior [to honor]. \$6 Perhaps, indeed, one might conceive virtue more than honor to be	the end of the political life. However, this also is apparently too incom- plete [to be the good]. For it seems possible for someone to possess virtue 1096a but be asleep or inactive throughout his life, and, moreover, to suffer the	worst evils and misfortunes. If this is the sort of life he leads, no one would count him happy, except to defend a philosopher's paradox.*
	1095 ⁶ 10		15	20		,	25		30		
	1095 <i>a</i> 1		1	5		Ċ			(r)		1095b
Book I, Chapter 4 §5	person edu- tience; for he nd premises feelings, his cel is action, g in years or l on age, but rursuit; for an efit from his their desires great benefit. out the way do.*		e and decision* il science seeks? s achievable in	ee; for both the oose that living they disagree ame answer as	evident—for e thing, others or when he has allen into pov-	of their own	ng grand and l to think that ists in its own	beliefs, and	to have some	nents from o was right ent] set out	urse the path or we should
	cated in that area, and the unqualifiedly good judge is the cated in every area. This is why a youth is not a suitable student of political scalacks experience of the actions in life, which are the subject a of our arguments. S6 Moreover, since the tends to follow his study will be futile and useless; for the end lof political scien not knowledge.* S7 It does not matter whether he is youn, immature in character, since the deficiency does not depend results from following his feelings in his life and in a given pi immature person, like an incontinent person, gets no bene knowledge. But for those who accord with reason in forming and in their actions, knowledge of political science will be of g S8 These are the preliminary points about the student, abour claims are to be accepted, and about what we propose to		Let us, then, begin again.* Since every sort of knowledge pursues some good, what is the good that we say political What, [in other words,] is the highest of all the goods action?	\$2 As far as its name goes, most people virtually agree; for both the many and the cultivated call it happiness, and they suppose that living well and doing well are the same as being happy.* But they disagree about what happiness is, and the many do not give the same answer as the wise.*	§3 For the many think it is something obvious and evident—for instance, pleasure, wealth, or honor. Some take it to be one thing, others another. Indeed, the same person often changes his mind; for when he has fallen ill, he thinks happiness is health, and when he has fallen into pov-	erty, he thinks it is wealth. And when they are conscious of their own	ignorance, they admire anyone who speaks of something grand and above their heads. [Among the wise,] however, some used to think that besides these many goods there is some other good that exists in its own	right and that causes all these goods to be goods.* §4 Presumably, then, it is rather futile to examine all these beliefs, and	it is enough to examine those that are most current or seem to argument for them.	\$5 We must notice, however, the difference between arguments from principles and arguments toward principles.* For indeed Plato was right to be puzzled about this, when he used to ask if [the argument] set out	from the principles or led toward them*—just as on a race course the path may go from the starting line to the far end,* or back again. For we should

ŝ

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS	Book I, Chapter 6 §4		Book I, Chapter 6 §5 ARISTOTLE
Enough about this, since it has been adequately discussed in the popular works* as well. §7 The third life is the life of study, which we shall examine in what follows.* §8 The moneymaker's life is in a way forced on him [not chosen for itself],* and clearly wealth is not the good we are seeking, since it is [merely] useful, [choiceworthy only] for some other end. Hence one would be more inclined to suppose that [any of] the goods mentioned earlier is the end, since they are liked for themselves. But apparently they are not [the end] either; and many arguments have been presented against them.* Let us, then, dismiss them.	ussed in the popular 1096a all examine in what him [not chosen for e seeking, since it is her end. Hence one ne goods mentioned But apparently they ave been presented	1096a3 5 1096b	differ according the good difference of the g
6 [The Platonic Form of the Good]		10	
Presumably, though, we had better examine the universal good, and puz- zle out what is meant in speaking of it.* This sort of inquiry is, to be sure, unwelcome to us, because those who introduced the Forms were friends* of ours; still, it presumably seems better, indeed only right, to destroy even what is close to us if that is the way to preserve truth. We must espe- cially do this as philosophers, [lovers of wisdom]; for though we love both the truth and our friends, reverence is due to the truth first.	ersal good, and puz- inquiry is, to be sure, Forms were friends* nly right, to destroy truth. We must espe- for though we love e truth first.	15 15	
9.2. Those who introduced this view did not mean to produce an loca for any [series] in which they spoke of prior and posterior [members], ⁴ that was why they did not mean to establish an Idea [of number] for [the series of] numbers. But the good is spoken of both in what-it-is [that is, substance], and in quality and relative,* and what exists in its own right, that is, substance, is by nature prior to the relative,* since a relative would seem to be an appendage and coincident of being. And so there is no common Idea over these.	n to produce an Idea osterior [member],* [of number] for [the in what-it-is [that is, dists in its own right, ince a relative would d so there is no com- heino [is snoken of].*	20	
Su trunct, good is spotted of in as many ways as being he spotted out- in what-it-is, as god and mind,* in quality, as the virtues; in quantity, as the measured amount; in relative, as the useful; in time, as the opportune moment; in place, as the [right] situation; and so on. Hence it is clear that the good cannot be some common and single universal; for if it were, it would be spoken of in only one [of the types of] predication, not in them all. §4 Further, if a number of things have a single Idea, there is also a sin-	tes; in quantity, as the les; in quantity, as the re, as the opportune Hence it is clear that rsal; for if it were, it ation, not in them all. as, there is also a sin-	25 20 30	charks: In fact, nowever, nonor, prudence, and pleasure have different and dissimilar accounts, precisely insofar as they are goods. Hence the good is not something common corresponding to a single Idea. §12 But how, then, is good spoken of? For it is not like homonyms resulting from chance.* Is it spoken of from the fact that goods derive from one thing or all contribute to one thing? Or is it spoken of more by analogy? For as sight is to body, so understanding is to soul, and so on for
gle science of them; hence [if there were an Idea of good] there would also be some single science of all goods. But, in fact, there are many sciences even of the goods under one [type of] predication; for the science of the opportune moment, for instance, in war is generalship, in disease medi- cine. And similarly the science of the measured amount in food is medi- cine, in exertion gymnastics. [Hence there is no single science of the good, and so no Idea.]	od] there would also the are many sciences for the science of the nip, in disease medi- nunt in food is medi- science of the good,	32 30	

ഹ

r

ω

5 ¹ 3 ² ² ¹ 3 ³⁰ ² ¹ ¹⁰ ¹⁰ ¹⁰	being; hence we should set aside the life of nutrition and growth.* The life 1098a	instance, with principles, where the fact that [something is true] is the
5 ³⁰ ²⁵ ²⁰ ¹⁵ ¹⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ²³ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³⁰ ³	tion and growth." The life 1098a tion; but this too is appar-	instance, with principles, where the fact that [something is true] is the first thing, that is to say, the principle.* §21 Some principles are studied by means of induction, some by
10 10 12 15 10 30 25 20 15 10 30 25 20 15 10	ى ب	means of perception, some by means of some sort of habituation, and others by other means.* §22 In each case we should try to find them out by means suited to their nature, and work hard to define them rightly.
10 15 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	te is also spoken of in two ways [as capacity and as activity], and st take [a human being's special function to be] life as activity, nis seems to be called life more fully.* §14 We have found, then, e human function is activity of the soul in accord with reason or	§23 For they carry great weight* for what follows; for the principle seems to be more than half the whole,* and makes evident the answer to many of our questions.
10 15 20 30 20 20 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	at the function of a [kind of thing]—of	8
15 10 30 25 20 15 10 30 30 30 30		[Defense of the Account of the Good]
15 25 20 15 30 25 20 30 30	10	We should examine the principle, however, not only from the conclusion and premises [of a deduction], but also from what is said about it.* for all
15 20 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30		the facts harmonize with a true account, whereas the truth soon clashes with a false one.*
15 20 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	be activity and actions of the soul that involve reason; hence the	§2 Goods are divided, then, into three types, some called external,
9 <u>7</u> 9 9 <u>8</u> 9	15	some goods of the soul, others goods of the body.* We say that the goods of the coul are goods much fully and more than the others and we take
30 3 ² 30 30 3 ² 30		actions and activities of the soul to be [goods] of the soul. And so our
20 25 30 25 30 30	roves to be activity of the soul in accord with virtue,* and indeed	account [of the good] is right, to judge by this belief anyhow—and it is an ancient belief, and accepted by philosophers.
30 35 20 30 25 20		§3 Our account is also correct in saying that some sort of actions and
30 52 30 52	20	acurates are the end, for in that way the end turns out to be a good of the soul, not an external good.
25 30 30 30 30 30 35 35	This, then, is a sketch of the good; for, presumably, we must draw	§4 The belief that the happy person lives well and does well also agrees with our account, since we have virtually said that the end is a sort
30 55 30 30	ine first, and fill it in later.* If the sketch is good, anyone, it seems, ance and articulate it, and in such cases time discovers more, or is	or nying wen and doing wen.
30 30 30		§5 Further, all the features that people look for in happiness appear to
8		be true of the end described in our account.* §6 For to some people
30		uapputess seems to be virtue; to outers productive; to outers source sort of wisdom: to others again it seems to be these, or one of these, involving
30	s with a given subject matter and is proper to a given line of	pleasure or requiring it to be added,* others add in external prosperity as
30		well. §7 Some of these views are traditional, held by many, while others
800 30 OT 200	gle are different also; the carpenter restricts himself to what helps	are held by a few men who are widely esteemed. It is reasonable for each
30 26	k, but the geometer inquires into what, or what sort" of thing, the igle is, since he studies the truth. We must do the same, then, in	group not to be completely wrong, but to be correct on one point at least, or even on most points.
		§8 First, our account agrees with those who say happiness is virtue [in
domond for an avalanction in all 1000.	lo ni noticentario de los formas	
explorer to virtue. By resumantly modeling the explanation in all 1986 proper to virtue. By resumantly inough, in matters quite a bit whether cases. On the contrary, in some cases it is enough to prove rightly that we suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing or in using—that is the suppose that the best good consists in possessing that the suppose that the best good consists in possessing that the possessing that the possessing the suppose that the possessing that the posses that the possessing that the pos		proper to virtue. Sy riesumanty, mough, in maners quite a pit whether

Book I, Chapter 9 §1 ARISTOTLE	P 10000 10 tic di in: so	¹⁵ But even if it is not sent by the gods, but instead results from virtue and some sort of learning or cultivation, happiness appears to be one of the most divine things, since the prize and goal of virtue appears to be the best good, something divine and blessed. §4 Moreover [if happiness comes in this way] it will be widely shared; for anyone who is not deformed [in his capacity] for virtue will be able to achieve happiness 20 through some sort of learning and attention.		 useful and cooperative as instruments [but are not parts of it]. §8 Further, this conclusion agrees with our opening remarks. For we s0 took the goal of political science to be the best good; and most of its attention is devoted to the character of the citizens, to make them good people who do fine actions.* §9 It is not surprising, then, that we regard neither ox, nor horse, nor any other kind of animal as happy; for none of them can share in this sort of activity. §10 For the same reason a child is not happy either, since his age prevents him from doing these sorts of actions. If he is called happy, he is being congratulated [simply] because of anticipated blessedness; for, as we have said, happiness requires both complete virtue and a complete life.* §10 It needs a complete life because life includes many reversals of fortune, good and bad, and the most prosperous person may fall into a terrible disaster in old age, as the Trojan stories tell us about Priam. If someone has suffered these sorts of misfortunes and comes to a miserable end, no one counts him hapow.
	. ь. с			
	1099a 5 10	12 12	50	25 5 5
Book I, Chapter 8 §17	may be in a state that achieves no good—if, for instance, he is asleep or 109a inactive in some other way—but this cannot be true of the activity; for it will necessarily act and act well. And just as Olympic prizes are not for the finest and strongest, but for the contestants—since it is only these who win—the same is true in life; among the fine and good people, only those who act correctly* win the prize. §10 Moreover, the life of these active people is also pleased in the activity of the soul]. Further, each type of person finds pleasure in what-ever he is called a lover of succharles. Similarly what is instance, pleases the lover of succharles Similarly what is necessaries the lover of succharles Similarly what is succharles the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the sume similarly what is succharles the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is instances the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is love the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is love the lover of the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly what is love the lover of the lover of succharles Similarly		§12 Hence these people's life does not need pleasure to be added [to virtuous activity] as some sort of extra decoration; rather, it has its pleasure within itself.* For besides the reasons already given, someone who does not enjoy fine actions is not good; for no one would call a person just, for instance, if he did not enjoy doing just actions, or generous if he did not enjoy doing just actions, or generous if he did not enjoy doing just actions are pleasant in their own right. Moreover, these actions are good and fine as well as pleasant; indeed, they are good, fine, and pleasant more than anything else is, since on this question the excellent person judges rightly, and his judgment agrees with what we have said.	agrees with what we have salu. S14 Happiness, then, is best, finest, and most pleasant, and the Delian inscription is wrong to distinguish these things: What is most just is fin- est; being healthy is most beneficial; but it is most pleasant to win our heart's desire.'* For all three features are found in the best activities, and we say happiness is these activities, or [rather] one of them, the best one.* S15 Nonetheless, happiness evidently also needs external goods to be added, as we said, since we cannot, or cannot easily, do fine actions if we lack the resources.* For, first of all, in many actions we use friends, 1099b wealth, and political power just as we use instruments. S16 Further, deprivation of certain [externals]—for instance, good birth, good chil- dren, beauty—mars our blessedness. For we do not altogether have the character of happiness* if we look utterly repulsive or are ill-born, soli- tary, or childless; and we have it even less, presumably, if our children or friends are totally bad, or were good but have died. S17 And so, as we have said, happiness would seem to need this sort of prosperity added also. That is why some people identify happiness with good fortune, and others identify it with virtue.

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS Book I, C	Chapter 10 §10		ш	Book I, Chapter 10 §10 ARISTOTLE
10 [Can We Be Happy during Our Lifetime?]		11	00b15 t 2	1100b15 the sciences.* Indeed, the most honorable among the virtues themselves are more enduring than the other virtues, because blessed people devote their lines to them more fully and more continuelly that to contribute
Then should we count no human being happy during his lifetime, but fol- low Solon's advice to wait to see the end?* \$2 But if we agree with Solon,		1100a10	- 04	definition of the second s
can someone really be happy during the time after he has died to surely that is completely absurd, especially when we say happiness is an activity.	' Surely that activity.			But it rouows, then, that the happy person has the [stabuty] we are looking for and keeps the character he has throughout his life. For
So We do not say, then, that someone is happy during the time he is dead, and Solon's point is not this [absurd one], but rather that when a human being has diad we are setely according [that he wool blaced]	that when a	15	<i>9 9</i>	always, or more than anything else, he will do and study the actions in accord with virtue, and will bear fortunes most finely, in every way and in all conditions appropriately eince he is truly (cood, forecurse, and
[before he died], on the assumption that he is now finally beyond evils	vasi presseu reyond evils			blam containing appropriately, since ite is italy good, routisquare, and blameless'* 500 Martine is the second
and mustortunes." but this claim is also disputable. For it a hying person has good or evil of which he is not aware, a dead person also, it seems,	t living person also, it seems,		co.	SLZ Many events, nowever, are subject to fortune, some are minor, some major. Hence, minor strokes of good or ill fortune clearly will not
has good or evil, if, for instance, he receives honors or dishonors, and his	nors, and his	20	25	carry any weight for his life. But many major strokes of good fortune will
Culturent, and descendants in general, up wen of surfer interorture. §4 However, this conclusion also raises a puzzle. For even if someone	n if someone			it, and his use of them proves to be fine and excellent. Conversely, if he
has lived in blessedness until old age, and has died appropriately, many	iately, many			suffers many major misfortunes, they oppress and spoil his blessedness,
nuctuations of his descendants fortunes may still happen to him; for some may be good nearle and get the life they deserve while the con-	t to nim; tor aile the con-	<u>л</u>	2 DC	surce triey involve pain and impede many activities. And yet, even nere what is fine shines through whenever someone hears many severe mis-
trary may be true of others, and clearly they may be as distantly related to	tly related to	3	• 44	fortunes with good temper, not because he feels no distress, but because
their ancestor as you please. Surely, then, it would be an absurd result if	urd result if		<u> </u>	he is noble and magnanimous.*
the dead person's condition changed along with the fortunes of his	tunes of his			\$13 And since it is activities that control life, as we said, no blessed
descendants, so that at one time he would turn out to have been happy	been happy	;	35	person could ever become miserable, since he will never do hateful and
In his inclumed and at another time he would turn out to have been miserable * - 85 But it would also be absurd if the condition of descen-	o nave peen n of descen-	30		base actions. For a truly good and prudent person, we suppose, will bear strokes of forthine suitably and from his resonances at any time will do the
dants did not affect their ancestors at all or for any length of time.	ime.	8	. 44	finest actions, just as a good general will make the best use of his forces in
§6 But we must return to the previous puzzle, since that will perhaps	will perhaps		5	war, and a good shoemaker will make the finest shoe from the hides
also show us the answer to our present question. S7 Let us grant that	us grant that		ŝ	given to him, and similarly for all other craftsmen.
we must wait to see the end, and must then count someone blessed, not as now being blessed [during the time he is dead] but because he previ-	blessed, not ise he previ-		1	814 If this is so, the happy person could never become miserable, but neither will he be blessed if he falls into misfortunes as bad as Priam's.*
ously was blessed. Would it not be absurd, then, if, at the very time when	y time when		~	Nor, however, will he be inconstant and prone to fluctuate, since he will
he is happy, we refused to ascribe truly to him the happiness he has?*	ess he has?*	35	10 I	neither be easily shaken from his happiness nor shaken by just any mis-
Such refusal results from reluctance to call him happy during his lifetime, because of its ups and downs: for we suppose hampiness is enduring and	ng his lifetime, enduring and	1100b	+- +-	tortunes.* He will be shaken from it, though, by many serious misfor- tunes, and from these a return to hanniness will take no short time. At
definitely not prone to fluctuate, but the same person's fortunes often	rtunes often			best, it will take a long and complete length of time that includes great
turn to and fro.* §8 For clearly, if we take our cue from his fortunes, we	fortunes, we	5	⁽⁰⁾	and fine successes.
shall often call him happy and then miserable again, thereby representing	representing		15	\$15 Then why not say that the happy person is the one whose activi-
We happy person as a much of chantercond insecutely based. 89 But surely it is quite wrong to take our cue from someone's for-	neone's for-		- 04	goods, not for just any time but for a complete life? Or should we add that
tunes. For his doing well or badly does not rest on them.* A	A human life,		,,	he will also go on living this way and will come to an appropriate end,
as we said, needs these added, but activities in accord with vib happiness, and the contrary activities control its contrary.	virtue control \$10 Indeed.	10	50 U	since the future is not apparent to us, and we take happiness to be the end, and altorether complete in every way? \$16 Given these facts
the present puzzle is further evidence for our account [of happiness]. For	piness]. For		20 [
no human achievement has the stability of activities in accord with vir- tue-since these seem to be more enduring even than our knowledge of	cord with vir- knowledge of		- 0	who has, and will keep, the goods we mentioned is blessed, but blessed as a human being is.* So much for a determination of this question.
	To again the		,	

NICOMACHEAN EI HICS 11	book I, Chapter 12 \$4	1101b	Book I, Chapter 12 §4 <i>b</i> how it appears. For the gods and the most godlike* of men are [not
[How Happiness Can Be Affected after One's Death]	One's Death]	7077	25
Still, it is apparently rather unfriendly and contrary to the [common] beliefs to claim that the fortunes of our descendants and all our friends contribute mothing - 82 But since they can find themselves in many and	and contrary to the [common] descendants and all our friends	1101a	but we count it blessed, as something better and more godlike [than any-thing that is praised].
various circumstances, some of which affect us more, some less, it is apparently a long—indeed endless—task to differentiate all the particular	affect us more, some less, it is to differentiate all the particular	25	defending the supremacy of pleasure.* By not praising pleasure, though it 30 is a good, we indicate—so he thought—that it is superior to everything
cases. Perhaps a general outline will be enough of an answer. \$3 Misfortunes, then, even to the person himself, differ, and some	nough of an answer. erson himself, differ, and some		praiseworthy; [only] the god and the good have this superiority since the other goods are [praised] by reference to them.
have a certain gravity and weight for his life, whereas others would seem to be lighter. The same is true for the misfortunes of his friends; 84 and it matters whether they happen to living or to dead people—much more	life, whereas others would seem sfortunes of his friends; §4 and t or to dead people—much more	30	§6 [Here he seems to have argued correctly.] For praise is given to vir- tue, since it makes us do fine actions; but celebrations are for achieve- ments. either of bodv or of soul. S7 But an exact treatment of this is
than it matters whether lawless and terrible crimes are committed before a tragic drama begins or in the course of it.*	ble crimes are committed before it.*	3 1102a	ទ
85 In our reasoning, then, we should also take account of this differ- ence, but even more account, presumably, of the puzzle about whether	also take account of this differ- dv. of the puzzle about whether	35	and complete. S8 A further reason why this would seem to be correct is that happi-
the dead structure in any good or evil. For if we consider this, anything good or evil for the dead would seem to be weak and unimportant, or evil penetrating to the dead would seem to be weak and unimportant, such as without any is not so.	we consider this, anything good in to be weak and unimportant, Error if the const or and is not so	1101b	ness is a principle; for [the principle] is what we all aim at in all our other actions;* and we take the principle and cause of goods to be something household divide
curies without quantization of for them. Even in the good of even is for so weak and unimportant, still its importance and character are not enough	ice and character are not enough		
to make people happy who are not already happy, or to take away the blessedness of those who are happy. 86 And 80, when friends do well,	ady happy, or to take away the 5 And so, when friends do well,	ъ	13
and likewise when they do badly, it appears to contribute something to	pears to contribute something to		[Introduction to the Virtues]
the dead, put of a character and size that neither makes hap happy nor anything of this sort.	nettner makes nappy people not		5 Since happiness is a certain sort of activity of the soul in accord with complete virtue, we must examine virtue; for that will perhaps also be a
			way to study happiness better.* §2 Moreover, the true politician* seems to have put more effort into virtue than into anything else, since
[Praise and Honor]			10 he wants to make the citizens good and law-abiding. \$3 We find an example of this in the Spartan and Cretan legislators and in any others
Now that we have determined these points, let us consider whether hap-	nts, let us consider whether hap-	10	who share their concerns. §4 Since, then, the examination of virtue is
puress is sometrung praiseworrny, or instead sometrung nonorapie; to clearly it is not a capacity [which is neither praiseworthy nor honorable].	stead sometning nonorable; for er praiseworthy nor honorable].		proper for pointical science, the inquiry clearly suits our decision at the beginning.*
§2 Whatever is praiseworthy appears to be praised for its character and its state in relation to comething * We praise the inst and the brave	's to be praised for its character We praise the inst and the brave		S5 It is clear that the virtue we must examine is human virtue, since
person, for instance, and in general the good person and virtue, because	good person and virtue, because	15	he are also securing the number good and runnan happiness.
of their actions and achievements; and we praise the strong person, the good runner, and each of the others because he naturally has a certain	we praise the strong person, the cause he naturally has a certain		say that happiness is an activity of the soul. §7 If this is so, it is clear 20 that the politician must in some way know about the soul, just as some-
character and is in a certain state in relation to something good and excellent. §3 This is clear also from praises of the gods; for these praises	and is in a certain state in relation to something good and \$3 This is clear also from praises of the gods: for these praises		one setting out to heal the eyes must know about the whole body as well.* This is all the more true to the extent that political science is better and
appear ridiculous because they are referred to us, but they	ed to us, but they are referred to	20	more honorable than medicine; even among doctors, the cultivated ones
us because, as we said, praise depends on such a reference. §4 If praise is for these sorts of things, then clearly for the best things	n such a reference. 5, then clearly for the best things		
there is no praise, but something greater and better. And	r and better. And indeed this is		25 study it for his specific purpose, far enough for his inquiry [into virtue];

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS	Book I, Chapter 13 §18		Book I, Chapter 13 §18 ARISTOTLE
for a more exact treatment would presumably take more effort than his purpose requires.* §9 [We] have discussed the soul sufficiently [for our purposes] in [our] popular works as well [as our less popular],* and we should use this dis- cussion. We have said, for instance, that one [part] of the soul is nonra- tional, while one has reason. §10 Are these distinguished as parts of a body and everything divisible into parts are? Or are they two [only] in definition, and inseparable by nature, as the convex and the concave are in a surface? It does not matter for present purposes.* §11 Consider the nonrational [part]. One [part] of it, i.e., the cause of nutrition and growth, would seem to be plantlike and shared [with all liv- ing things]; for we can ascribe this capacity of the soul to everything that is nourished, including embryos, and the same capacity to full-grown liv-		1102a 1102b 30 1103a 1102b 5	all, the [part] with appetites and in general desires* shares in reason in a way, insofar as it both listens to reason and obeys it. This is the way in which we are said to 'listen to reason' from father or friends, as opposed to the way in which [we 'give the reason'] in mathematics.* The nonrational part also [obeys and] is persuaded in some way by reason, as is shown by correction, and by every sort of reproof and exhortation. S19 If, then, we ought to say that this [part] also has reason, then the [part] that has reason, as well [as the nonrational part], will have two parts. One will have reason fully, by having it within itself; the other will have reason by listening to reason as to a father.* The division between virtues are called virtues of thought, others virtues of thought, others with this difference. For some other comparts are called virtues of thought, others with the division between are called virtues of thought others with the division and windence are called virtues of thought others.
ing things, since this is more reasonable than to ascribe another capacity to them.* §12 Hence the virtue of this capacity is apparently shared, not [specif- ically] human. For this part and this capacity more than others seem to be active in sleep, and here the good and the bad person are least dis- tinct; hence happy people are said to be no better off than miserable peo- ple for half their lives. §13 This lack of distinction is not surprising, since sleep is inactivity of the soul insofar as it is called excellent or base,	ore reasonable than to ascribe another capacity of this capacity is apparently shared, not [specif- art and this capacity more than others seem to ere the good and the bad person are least dis- e are said to be no better off than miserable peo- \$13 This lack of distinction is not surprising, the soul insofar as it is called excellent or base,	و م	
nuces to some strent extent some involutions percurate to out aware ness), and in this way the decent person comes to have better images [in dreams] than just any random person has. \$14 Enough about this, however, and let us leave aside the nutritive part, since by nature it has no share in human virtue.	e pericuale (lo our aware- s to have better images [in \$14 Enough about this, eart, since by nature it has	2	[VIRTUE OF CHARACTER] 1 [How a Virtue of Character Is Acquired]
§15 Another nature in the soul would also seem to be nonrational, though in a way it shares in reason. For in the continent and the inconti- nent person we praise their reason, that is to say, the [part] of the soul that has reason, because it exhorts them correctly and toward what is best; but they evidently also have in them some other [part] that is by nature some- thing apart from reason. clashing and struggling with reason. For just as	o seem to be nonrational, continent and the inconti- t, the [part] of the soul that d toward what is best; but art] that is by nature some- ng with reason. For just as	15 15	
paralyzed parts of a body, when we decide to move them to the right, do the contrary and move off to the left, the same is true of the soul; for incontinent people have impulses in contrary directions. §16 In bodies, admittedly, we see the part go astray, whereas we do not see it in the soul, nonetheless, presumably, we should suppose that the soul also has some-	nove them to the right, do ne is true of the soul; for lirections. §16 In bodies, ve do not see it in the soul; at the soul also has some-	20 20	us nnc ha
thing apart from reason, countering and opposing reason. The [precise] way it is different does not matter. §17 However, this [part] as well [as the rational part] appears, as we said, to share in reason. At any rate, in the continent person it obeys rea- son; and in the temperate and the brave person it presumably listens still better to reason, since there it agrees with reason in everything.* §18 The norrational [part], then, as well [as the whole soul] appar-	sing reason. Ine [precise] tional part] appears, as we titnent person it obeys rea- it presumably listens still n in everything.*	25 30 25	
ently has two parts. For while the plantlike [part] shares in reason not at	urt] shares in reason not at	30	cising them. Virtues, by contrast, we acquire, just as we acquire crafts, by

Book II, Chapter 2 §4 ARISTOTLE	84 While this is the character of our general account, the account of particular cases is still more inexact. For these fall under no craft or profession; the agents themselves must consider in each case what the opportune action is, as doctors and navigators do.* §5 The account we offer, then, in our present inquiry is of this inexact sort; still, we must try to offer help.* §6 First, then, we should observe that these sorts of states naturally tend to be ruined by excess and deficiency. We see this happen with errorth and health. for we must us ordinate action action are available.	15 nesses to things that are not evident.* For both excessive and deficient exercise ruin bodily strength, and, similarly, too much or too little eating or drinking ruins health, whereas the proportionate amount produces, increases, and preserves it. 20 §7 The same is true, then, of temperance, bravery, and the other virtues. For if, for instance, someone avoids and is afraid of everything.	 standing firm against nothing, he becomes cowardly; if he is afraid of nothing at all and goes to face everything, he becomes rash. Similarly, if he is afraid of nothing at all and goes to face everything, he becomes rash. Similarly, if he gratifies himself with every pleasure and abstains from none, he so to finsensible person. Temperance and bravery, then, are ruined by excess and deficiency, but preserved by the mean.* §8 But these actions are not only the sources and causes both of the sources and causes and causes both of the sources and causes and causes and causes and causes and cause and the sources and cause and the sources and cause and the sourc		bravery; habituation in tustain for inginering situations and in stand- ing firm against them makes us become brave, and once we have become brave we shall be most capable of standing firm.	[The Importance of Pleasure and Pain] 5 But we must take someone's pleasure or pain following on his actions to be a sign of his state.* For if someone who abstains from bodily pleasures enjoys the abstinence itself, he is temperate; if he is grieved by it, he is intemperate.* Again, if he stands firm against terrifying situations and enjoys it, or at least does not find it painful, he is brave; if he finds it painful, he is cowardly. For virtue of character is about pleasures and pains.*
	110			30 31 1104b		
	1103a 1103b 5	10	15 20	25	30	1104a
Book II, Chapter 2 §3	having first activated them. For we learn a craft by producing the same product that we must produce when we have learned it; we become builders, for instance, by building, and we become harpists by playing the harp. Similarly, then, we become just by doing just actions, temperate by doing temperate actions, brave by doing brave actions. §5 What goes on in cities is also evidence for this. For the legislator makes the citizens good by habituating them, and this is the wish of every legislator; if he fails to do it well he misses his goal.* Correct habituation distinguishes a good bolic learned form a had one	S6 Further, the sources and means that develop each virtue also ruin it, just as they do in a craft. For playing the harp makes both good and bad harpists, and it is analogous in the case of builders and all the rest; for building well makes good builders, and building badly makes bad ones. §7 Otherwise no teacher would be needed, but everyone would be born a good or a bad craftsman.	It is the same, then, with the virtues. For what we do in our dealings with other people makes some of us just, some unjust; what we do in ter- rifying situations, and the habits of fear or confidence that we acquire, make some of us brave and others cowardly. The same is true of situa- tions involving appetites and anger; for one or another sort of conduct in these situations makes some temperate and mild, others intemperate and irrescible. To sum it up in a single account: a state [of character] results	8 That is why we must perform the right activities, since differences in these imply corresponding differences in the states. [*] It is not unimportant, then, to acquire one sort of habit or another, right from our youth. On the contrary, it is very important, indeed all-important. 2	Our present discussion does not aim, as our others do, at study; for the purpose of our examination is not to know what virtue is, but to become good, since otherwise the inquiry would be of no benefit to us.* And so we must examine the right ways of acting; for, as we have said, the	S2 First, then, actions should accord with the correct reason.* That is a common [belief], and let us assume it. We shall discuss it later, and say what the correct reason is and how it is related to the other virtues. S3 But let us take it as agreed in advance that every account of the actions we must do has to be stated in outline, not exactly. As we also said at the beginning, the type of accounts we demand should accord with the subject matter; and questions about actions and expediency, like questions about health, have no fixed answers.*
	rn a c we h l we h l we h t by d ing b; dence dence hem, ses hi	at dev at dev e of b and b be ne	It is the some of use with the virtues. For when with other people makes some of us just, some with other people makes some of the habits of fear or compare some of us brave and others cowardly. These situations makes some temperate and militations involving appetites and anger; for one or these situations makes some temperate and militations in a single account: account: account: account: account: accoun	. right a s in the or anoth leed all-	Unabluation Our present discussion does not aim, as our oth purpose of our examination is not to know what good, since otherwise the inquiry would be of n we must examine the right ways of acting; fo	S2 First, then, actions should accord with the common [belief], and let us assume it. We shall what the correct reason is and how it is related to \$3 But let us take it as agreed in advance th actions we must do has to be stated in outline, no at the beginning, the type of accounts we demansubject matter; and questions about actions an tions about health, have no fixed answers.*

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS	Book II, Chapter 3 §11		Book II, Chapter 4 §1 ARISTOTLE
For pleasure causes us to do base actions, and pain causes us to abstain from fine ones. §2 That is why we need to have had the appropriate upbringing—right from early youth, as Plato says*—to make us find	is us to abstain le appropriate make us find	1104b10	4 [Virtuous Actions versus Virtuous Character]
enjoyment or pain in the right things; for this is the correct education. \$3 Further, virtues are concerned with actions and feelings; but every feeling and every action implies pleasure or pain,* hence, for this reason too. virtue is about pleasures and pains. \$4 Corrective treatments also	 the correct education. ons and feelings; but every ain,* hence, for this reason Corrective treatments also 	1105a 15	Someone might be puzzled, however, about what we mean by saying that we become just by doing just actions and become temperate by doing temperate actions.* For [one might suppose that] if we do grammatical or
indicate this, since they use pleasures and pains; for correction is a form of medical treatment, and medical treatment naturally operates through contraries.	ns; for correction is a form naturally operates through	20	
55 Further, as we said earlier, every state of soul is naturally related to and about whatever naturally makes it better or worse; and pleasures and	soul is naturally related to r worse; and pleasures and	20	possible to produce a grammatical result by chance, or by following someone else's instructions. To be grammarians, then, we must both pro-
pains make people base, from pursuing and avoiding the wrong ones, at the wrong time, in the wrong ways, or whatever other distinctions of that	voiding the wrong ones, at ar other distinctions of that	25	duce a grammatical result and produce it grammatically—that is to say, produce it in accord with the grammatical knowledge in us.
sort are needed in an account. These [bad effects of pleasure and pain] are the reason why people actually define the virtues as ways of being unaf-	s of pleasure and pain] are ues as ways of being unaf-		§3 Moreover, in any case, what is true of crafts is not true of virtues.* For the products of a craft determine by their own qualities whether they
fected and undisturbed [by pleasures and pains].* They are wrong, how- ever, because they speak of being unaffected without qualification, not of	 Is].* They are wrong, how- ithout qualification, not of 	25	have been produced well; and so it suffices that they have the right qual- ities when they have been produced.* But for actions in accord with the
being unaffected in the right or wrong way, at the right or wrong time, and the added qualifications.	t the right or wrong time,	30	
S6 We assume, then, that virtue is the sort of state that does the best	of state that does the best		riterities was have the right quantities. Mather, the agent intus also be in the right state when he does them. First, he must know [that he is doing vir-
actions concerning pleasures and pains, and that vice is the contrary state. S7 The following will also make it evident that virtue and vice are	at vice is the contrary state. It that virtue and vice are	30	tuous actions]; second, he must decide on them, and decide on them for thomselves: and third he must also do them from a firm and unchange
about the same things. For there are three objects of choice	cts of choice—fine, expedi-		inclusion to any mutuation and an inclusion 100 mutual 3 mutual 3 mutual 3 models and 3 models 100 state.
ent, and pleasant—and three objects of avoidance—their contraries, shameful, harmful, and painful.* About all these, then, the good person is	idance—their contraries, se, then, the good person is	1105b	the hard thread of the second transfer for here three do not count, except for
correct and the bad person is in error, and especially about pleasure. For pleasure is shared with animals, and implied by every object of choice.		35 1105a	the pare knowing. As a condition for naving a virtue, nowever, the knowing counts for nothing, or [rather] for only a little, whereas the other
sector of the se		3	two conditions are very important, indeed all-important. And we achieve these other two conditions by the frequent doing of just and temperate
why it is hard to rub out this feeling that is dyed into our lives. We also	is from the fives. We also		actions. Sd Hance actions are called inst or temperate when they are the sort
estimate actions [as well as feelings]—some of us more, some less—by pleasure and pain. §9 For this reason, our whole discussion must be	of us more, some less—by whole discussion must be	ю	statute entropy are cancel year of competence when any are the south that a just on temperate person would do. But the just and temperate per-
about these; for good or bad enjoyment or pain actions.	ı is very important for our		sort is not the one who privately does these actually but the one who also does them in the way in which just or temperate people do them.
\$10 Further, it is more difficult to fight pleasure than to fight spirit— and Heracleitus tells us [how difficult it is to fight spirit].* Now both craft	isure than to fight spirit— sht spirit].* Now both craft	10	§5 It is right, then, to say that a person comes to be just from doing just actions and temperate from doing temperate actions; for no one has the
and virtue are in every case about what is more difficult, since a good result is even better when it is more difficult. Hence, for this reason also,	ore difficult, since a good Hence, for this reason also,	10	least prospect of becoming good from failing to do them. §6 The many, however, do not do these actions. They take refuge in
the whole discussion, for virtue and political science alike, must consider pleasures and pains; for if we use these well, we shall be good, and if badly, bad.	cience alike, must consider , we shall be good, and if	15	way to become excellent people. They are like a sick person who listens attentively to the doctor, but acts on none of his instructions. Such a
§11 To sum up: Virtue is about pleasures and pains; the actions that are its sources also increase it or, if they are done badly, ruin it; and its activity is about the same actions as those that are its sources.	I pains; the actions that are tdly, ruin it; and its activity ources.	15	course of treatment will not improve the state of the sick person's body; nor will the many improve the state of their souls by this attitude to philosophy.*

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS Book II	II, Chapter 6 §2		Book II, Chapter 6 §2	ARISTOTLE
5		1106a	standing steady in the face of the enemy. §3 If this is true in every case, the virtue of a human being will likewise be the state that makes a human	§3 If this is true in every case, be the state that makes a human
[Virtue of Character: Its Genus]			being good and makes him perform his function well.	unction well.
Next we must examine what virtue is. Since there are three conditions arising in the soul—feelings, capacities, and states—virtue must be one of these.*		1105b20 25		oe true, and it will also be evident sort of nature that virtue has.* ole we can take more, less, and
\$2 By feelings I mean appetite, anger, fear, confidence, envy, joy, love,	nvv, jov, love,		equal, and each of them either in the object itself or relative to us; and the	set itself or relative to us; and the
hate, longing, jealousy, pity, and in general whatever implies pleasure or	es pleasure or	30		cess and deficiency. §5 By the
pain. By capacities I mean what we have when we are said to be capable	to be capable	25	intermediate in the object I mean what is equidistant from each extremity;	equidistant from each extremity;
of these feelings—capable of being angry, for instance, or of being afraid	being afraid*		uus is one and the same for and but relative to us the intermediate is what is neither superfluous nor deficient: this is not one and is not the same for all *	e to us the intermediate is what is at one and is not the same for all *
or of resume piry. By states I mean what we have when we are well or badly off in relation to feelings.* If, for instance, our feeling is too intense	is too intense		So If, for instance, ten are many and two are few, we take six as inter-	wo are few, we take six as inter-
or slack, we are badly off in relation to anger, but if it is intermediate, we	ermediate, we		35 mediate in the object, since it exceeds [two] and is exceeded [by ten] by an	o] and is exceeded [by ten] by an
are well off; the same is true in the other cases.			equal amount, [four]. §7 This is what is intermediate by numerical pro-	s intermediate by numerical pro-
§3 First, then, neither virtues nor vices are feelings. For we are called	we are called	30 1106b	portion. But that is not how we must take the intermediate that is relative	e the intermediate that is relative
excellent or base insofar as we have virtues or vices, not insofar as we	insofar as we		to us. For interreportions for room, for instance, are a for tot someone to ear, and two pointed a little, it does not follow that the trainer will prescribe	w that the trainer will prescribe
have rectings. Further, we are iterated praised not planted misoral as we have feelings: for we do not praise the aport or the frightened person.	tened person.		six, since this might also be either a little or a lot for the person who is to	or a lot for the person who is to
and do not blame the person who is simply angry, but only the person		1106a	take it—for Milo [the athlete] a little, but for the beginner in gymnastics a	for the beginner in gymnastics a
who is angry in a particular way. We are praised or blamed, however,			5 lot; and the same is true for running and wrestling.	wrestling. §8 In this way every
insofar as we have virtues or vices.* §4 Further, we are angry and afraid	gry and afraid		scientific expert avoids excess and deficiency and seeks and chooses what	ncy and seeks and chooses what
without decision; but the virtues are decisions of some kind, or [rather]	nd, or [rather]		is intermediate—but intermediate relative to us, not in the object.	e to us, not in the object.
require decision.* Besides, insofar as we have feelings, we are said to be	are said to be	ы		duces its product well, by focus-
moved; but insofar as we have virtues or vices, we are said t	to be in some		10 ing on what is intermediate and making the product conform to that. [*]	g the product conform to that.
condition rather than moved.	ţ		this, indeed, is why people regularly comment on well-made products that nothing could be added or subtracted, they assume that everse or	imment on Well-made products
So for these reasons the virtues are not capacities either; for we are	er; tor we are		deficiency ruins a good [result] whereas the mean preserves it Good	as the mean preserves it Good
neither called good nor called bad, nor are we praised or blamed, insorar as we are simply canable of feelings Eurther while we have canacities by	amed, insofar capacities by	10	craftsmen also, we say, focus on what is intermediate when they produce	intermediate when they produce
ha we do not become good or bad by nature; we have discussed this	discussed this		15 their product. And since virtue, like nature, is better and more exact than	re, is better and more exact than
before.*			any craft, it will also aim at what is intermediate.*	nediate.*
§6 If, then, the virtues are neither feelings nor capacities, the remain-	s, the remain-		\$10 By virtue I mean virtue of character; for this is about feelings and	ter; for this is about feelings and
ing possibility is that they are states. And so we have said what the genus	hat the genus		actions, and mese admit of excess, deliciency, and an intermediate condi- tion. We can be afraid for instance or be confident or have appetites or	ency, and an intermediate condi- confident or have appetites or
of virtue is.			20 get angry, or feel pity, and in general have pleasure or pain, both too	ave pleasure or pain, both too
				ot well. §11 But having these
9			feelings at the right times, about the right things, toward the right people,	: things, toward the right people,
[Virtue of Character: Its Differentia]			for the right end, and in the right way, is the intermediate and best condi- tion and this is account to visition 200 Similarly actions also admit of	ay, is the intermediate and best condi- etal cimitarity options also admit of
But we must say not only as we already have. that it is a state but also	state, but also	15	excess. deficiency and an intermediate condition.	ominanty, actuous also autur of indition.
what sort of state it is.*		25		ions, in which excess and defi-
§2 It should be said, then, that every virtue causes its possessors to be	ssessors to be		ciency are in error and incur blame, whereas the intermediate condition is	eas the intermediate condition is
in a good state and to perform their functions well.* The virtue of eyes,	virtue of eyes,		correct and wins praise,* which are both proper to virtue.	n proper to virtue. §13 Virtue,
INT INSTANCE, MAKES THE EYES AND THEIR FUNCTIONING EXCEMENT, DECAUSE IT makes its see well: and similarly the virtue of a horse makes the horse	nt, pecause it kes the horse	20	then, is a mean, insolar as it aims at what is intermediate. 814 Moreover there are many ways to be in error-	is intermediate. At the in error—for badness is
excellent, and thereby good at galloping, at carrying its rider, and at	rider, and at		bre	goreans pictured it, and good to
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			4	•

23

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS	Book II, Chapter 7 §1		Book II, Chapter 7 §2	ARISTOTLE
the determinate. But there is only one way to be correct. That is why error is easy and correctness is difficult, since it is easy to miss the target and difficult to hit it. And so for this reason also excess and deficiency are proper to vice, the mean to virtue; 'for we are noble in only one way, but bad in all sorts of ways.'*		1106b 1107b 35	10	the mean is bravery. many cases are name- rash. The one who is rdly.
§15 Virtue, then, is a state that decides, consisting in a mean, the mean relative to us, which is defined by reference to reason, that is to say, to the		1107a	than in preasures — the mean is temperance and the excess intemperance. People deficient in pleasure are not often found, which is why they also lack even a name; let us call them insensible.	excess intemperance. hich is why they also
reason by reference to which the prudent person would define it.* It is a mean between two vices, one of excess and one of deficiency. S16 It is a mean for this reason also. Some vices miss what is right	vould define it.* It is a deficiency. ses miss what is right		10 §4 In giving and taking money the mean is generosity, the excess wastefulness and the deficiency ungenerosity. Here the vicious people have contrary excesses and defects: for the wasteful person is excessive in	enerosity, the excess re the vicious people person is excessive in
because they are deficient, others because they are excessive, in feelings or in actions, whereas virtue finds and chooses what is intermediate.	e excessive, in feelings at is intermediate.	ω	spending and deficient in taking, whereas the ungenerous person is excessive in taking and deficient in spending. §5 At the moment we are 15 speaking in outline and summary, and that is enough; later we shall	At the moment we are tough; later we shall
what it is are concerned, is a mean, but, as far as the best [condition] and the good [result] are concerned, it is an extremity.	te best [condition] and		define these things more exactly. S6 In questions of money there are also other conditions. Another	conditions. Another
§18 Now not every action or feeling admits of the mean.* For the names of some automatically include baseness—for instance suite	of the mean.* For the for instance_snite	10	mean is magnificence; for the magnificent person differs from the gener- ous by being concerned with large matters, while the generous person is	iffers from the gener- ne generous person is
shamelessness, envy [among feelings], and adultery, theft, murder, among actions.* For all of these and similar things are called by these	ultery, theft, murder, gs are called by these		20 concerned with small. The excess is ostentation and vulgarity, and the deficiency is stinginess. These differ from the vices related to generosity	nd vulgarity, and the related to generosity
names because they themselves, not their excesses or deficiencies, are base. Hence in doing these things we can never be correct, but must	es or deficiencies, are be correct, but must	15	in ways we shall describe later. §7 In honor and dishonor the mean is magnanimity, the excess some- se thing called a cort of vanity and the deficiency muillanimity. 88 And	nity, the excess some- sillanimity - SR And
invariably be in error. We cannot do them well of not well—by commit- ting adultery, for instance, with the right woman at the right time in the right way. On the contrary, it is true without qualification that to do any	not wen—by commit- it the right time in the fication that to do any	·		ficence in its concern rrned with small hon-
of them is to be in error. \$19 [To think these admit of a mean], therefore, is like thinking that unjust or cowardly or intemperate action also admits of a mean, an excess	e, is like thinking that ts of a mean, an excess	20		, which is concerned r in the right way or excess, he is called an
and a deficiency. If it did, there would be a mean of excess, a mean of deficiency, an excess of excess and a deficiency of deficiency. §20 On the contrary, just as there is no excess or deficiency of temperance or of brav-	excess, a mean of defi- ficiency. §20 On the emperance or of brav-		³⁰ honor-lover, and if his desire is deficient he is called indifferent to honor, but if he is intermediate he has no name. The corresponding conditions have no name either, except the condition of the honor-lover, which is	l indifferent to honor, esponding conditions nonor-lover, which is
ery (since the intermediate is a sort of extreme), so also there is no mean of these vicious actions either, but whatever way anyone does them, he is in error. For in general there is no mean of excess or of deficiency, and no excess or deficiency of a mean.	also there is no mean nyone does them, he is r of deficiency, and no	25 1108a	called honor-loving. This is why people at the extremes lay claim to the intermediate area. Moreover, we also sometimes call the intermediate person an honor- lover, and sometimes call him indifferent to honor; and sometimes we praise the honor-lover, sometimes the person indifferent to honor.* §9 We will mention later the reason we do this; for the moment, let us	the intermediate area. the person an honor- r; and sometimes we ndifferent to honor.* or the moment, let us
7 [The Particular Virtues of Character] However, we must not only state this general account but also apply it to the particular cases. For among accounts concerning actions, though the general ones are common to more cases, the specific ones are truer, since actions are about particular cases, and our account must accord with these.* Let us, then, find these from the chart.*	ant but also apply it to ng actions, though the ic ones are truer, since ant must accord with	8	5 §10 Anger also admits of an excess, deficiency, and mean. These are all practically nameless; but since we call the intermediate person mild, let us call the mean mildness. Among the extreme people, let the excessive person be irascible, and his vice irascibility, and let the deficient person be a sort of inirascible person, and his deficiency inirascibility. 10 §11 There are also three other means, somewhat similar to one another, but different. For they are all concerned with common dealings	wn. id mean. These are all diate person mild, let pple, let the excessive he deficient person be cibility. what similar to one ith common dealings

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS Book II	II, Chapter 8 §1		Book II, Chapter 8 §1 ARISTOTLE
in conversations and actions, but differ insofar as one is concerned with truth telling in these areas, the other two with sources of pleasure, some of which are found in amoment and the othere in daily life in conseral		1108a 1108b	opposed to each of the others, since each extreme is contrary both to the intermediate condition and to the other extreme, while the intermediate is contrary to the extremes
Hence we should also discuss these states, so that we can better observe that in every case the mean is praiseworthy, whereas the extremes are nei-	etter observe emes are nei-	15	
ther praiseworthy nor correct, but blameworthy. Most of these cases are also nameless, and we must try, as in the other cases also, to supply	ese cases are o, to supply	20	
names ourselves, to make things clear and easy to follow. §12 In truth-telling, then, let us call the intermediate person truthful,	son truthful,	20	instance, appears rash in comparison to the coward, and cowardly in comparison to the rash person; the temperate person appears intemperate
and the mean truthfulness; pretense that overstates will be boastfulness, and the person who has it boastful; pretense that understates will be self-	boastfulness, s will be self-		in comparison to the insensible person, and insensible in comparison with the intemperate person; and the generous person appears wasteful
deprecation, and the person who has it self-deprecating. \$13 In sources of pleasure in amusements let us call the intermediate	intermediate		in comparison to the ungenerous, and ungenerous in comparison to the wasteful person.* §3 That is why each of the extreme people tries to
person witty, and the condition wit; the excess buffoonery and the person who has it a huffoon: and the definitent nerson a sort of hoor and the state	id the person	25 25	
boorishness.	מומ זור ממור		ard, and similarly in the other cases.
In the other sources of pleasure, those in daily life, let us call the person who is pleasant in the right way friendly, and the mean state friendliness.	all the person triendliness.		§4 Since these conditions of soul are opposed to each other in these ways, the extremes are more contrary to each other than to the intermedi-
If someone goes to excess with no [ulterior] aim, he will be ingratiating; if	ngratiating; if		ate. For they are further from each other than from the intermediate, just
he does it for his own advantage, a flatterer. The deficient person, unpleas- ant in everything, will be a sort of guarrelsome and ill-tempered berson.	son, unpleas- red person.	30 30	
\$14 There are also means in feelings and about feelings. Shame, for	s. Shame, for		§5 Further, sometimes one extreme—rashness or wastefulness, for
instance, is not a virtue, but the person prone to shame as well as [the vir-	ell as [the vir-		instance—appears somewhat like the intermediate state, bravery or gen- errority Rut the extremes are most unlike one another and the things that
tuous people we have described J receives praise. For here also one person is called intermediate and another the nerson everseively more to	o one person alv propa to	35	
is called interintediate, and another—the person excessively profile to shame, who is ashamed about everything—is called excessive; the person	e; the person	35	
who is deficient in shame or never feels shame at all is said to have no	d to have no	1109a	§6 In some cases the deficiency, in others the excess, is more opposed
sense of disgrace; and the intermediate one is called prone to shame.	shame.	1108b	to the intermediate condition. For instance, cowardice, the deficiency,
\$15 Proper indignation is the mean between envy and spite; these con- ditions are concerned with pleasure and pain at what happens to our	te; these con-	E.)	The rashness, the excess, is more opposed to pravery, whereas intemper- 5 ance, the excess, not insensibility, the deficiency, is more opposed to tem-
neighbors. For the properly indignant person feels pain when someone	nen someone		perance.
does well undeservedly; the envious person exceeds him by feeling pain	r feeling pain	ß	§7 This happens for two reasons: One reason is derived from the
when anyone does well, while the spiteful person is so deficient in feeling	ent in feeling		object itself. Since sometimes one extreme is closer and more similar to the intermediate condition, we oppose the contrary extreme, more than
\$16 There will also be an opportunity elsewhere to speak of these. We	of these. We		
must consider justice after these.* Since it is spoken of in more than one	ore than one	10	
way, we shall distinguish its two types and say how each of them is a	of them is a	5	less similar, we oppose cowardice, more than rashness, to bravery; for what is further from the intermediate condition seems to be more con-
חוכמון. כוחותמדוץ, שכ חומצו מוצט כטופומכו נווכ עודומכא וומן סכוטון	18 10 1548011.	01	trary to it. This, then, is one reason, derived from the object itself. \$8 The other reason is derived from ourselves. For when we ourselves
8			have some natural tendency to one extreme more than to the other, this
[Relations between Mean and Extreme States]		15	extreme appears more opposed to the intermediate condition. Since, for 5 instance, we have more of a natural tendency to pleasure, we drift more
Among these three conditions, then, two are vices—one of excess, one of	excess, one of		easily toward intemperance than toward orderliness. Hence we say that
deficiency—and one, the mean, is virtue. In a way, each of them is	n of them 1s		an extreme is more contrary if we naturally develop more in that direc-
	ľ		

NICOMACHEAN ETHICS	II, Chapter 9 §8		Book II, Chapter 9 §8 ARISTOTLE And and the contribution of the constitution of the co
tion; and this is why intemperance is more contrary to tem it is the excess [of pleasure].	perance, since	1109a 1109b	not easy to define in an account; for nothing else perceptible is easily defined either. Such things* are among particulars,* and the judgment depends on perception.*
9 [How Can We Reach the Mean?]		25	dia sol
We have said enough, then, to show that virtue of character is a mean and what sort of mean it is; that it is a mean between two vices, one of excess and one of deficiency; and that it is a mean because it aims at the interme- diate condition in feelinos and actions	haracter is a mean and vo vices, one of excess it aims at the interme-	20	Intermediate and good condition. BOOK III
\$2 That is why it is also hard work to be excellent. For in each case it is hard work to find the intermediate; for instance, not everyone, but only one who knows, finds the midpoint in a circle. So also getting angry, or	at. For in each case it is not everyone, but only also getting angry, or	25	[PRECONDITIONS OF VIRTUE]
giving and spending money, is easy and everyone can do it; but doing it to the right person, in the right amount, at the right time, for the right	can do it; but doing it ght time, for the right		[Voluntary Action]
end, and in the right way is no longer easy, nor can everyone do it. Hence doing these things well is rare, praiseworthy, and fine.	everyone do it. Hence ne.	30	
§3 That is why anyone who aims at the intermediate condition must first of all steer clear of the more contrary extreme, following the advice	ediate condition must , following the advice	Ļ	
that Calypso also gives: 'Hold the ship outside the spray and surge.' For one extreme is more in error, the other less. §4 Since, therefore, it	he spray and surge." 84 Since, therefore, it	د <i>د</i> 1110a	
is hard to hit the intermediate extremely accurately,* the second-best tack as they say is to take the lesser of the evils. We shall succeed hest	tely,* the second-best We shall succeed hest	35	rance are involuntary.* What is forced has an external principle, the sort of principle in which
in this by the method we describe.		1109b	the agent, or [rather] the victim,* contributes nothing*—if, for instance, a
We must also examine what we ourselves drift into easily. For different neonle have different natural tendencies toward different orals, and we	ifferent coals, and we	IJ	wind or people who have him in their control were to carry him off. 84 But what about actions done because of fear of greater evils, or
shall come to know our own tendencies from the pleasure or pain that	pleasure or pain that	ю)	because of something fine?* Suppose, for instance, a tyrant tells you to do
arises in us. So We must drag ourselves off in the contrary direction; for if we pull far away from error, as they do in straightening bent wood, we shall reach the intermediate condition.	contrary direction; for itening bent wood, we		something shametul, when he has control over your parents and children, and if you do it, they will live, but if not, they will die.* These cases raise dispute about whether they are voluntary or involuntary.
So And in everything we must beware above all of pleasure and its	all of pleasure and its	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	\$5 However, the same sort [of unwelcome choice] is found in throw-
sources; for we are already biased in its favor when we come to judge it. Hence we must react to it as the elders reacted to Helen, and on each	n we come to judge it. o Helen, and on each	10 10	
occasion repeat what they said; for if we do this, and send be less in error.*	ıd send it off, we shall		overboard to save himself and the others. S6 These sorts of actions, then, are mixed,* but they are more like vol-
§7 In summary, then, if we do these things we shall best be able to reach the intermediate condition. But presumably this is difficult, espe-	e shall best be able to this is difficult, espe-		untary actions. For at the time they are done they are choiceworthy, and the goal of an action accords with the specific occasion; hence we should
cially in particular cases, since it is not easy to define the way we should be angry, with whom, about what, for how long. For sometimes, indeed,	ne the way we should or sometimes, indeed,	15 15	
we ourserves praise denotent people and call them muld, and sometimes praise quarrelsome people and call them manly.	t mula, and sometimes		hum the principle of moving the limbs that are the instruments for the action]; but if the principle of the actions is in him, it is also up to him to
So Still, we are not blamed if we deviate a little in excess or deficiency from doing well, but only if we deviate a long way, since then we are eas-	in excess or deficiency since then we are eas-	20	do them or not to do them." Hence actions of this sort are voluntary, though presumably the actions without [the appropriate] qualification are
ily noticed. But how great and how serious a deviation receives blame is	ation receives blame is		involuntary, since no one would choose any such action in its own right.

GLOSSARY (Week of 4/6/2020)

Activity, actualization (energeia):

A subject's *energeia* realizes its CAPACITY; hence the *energeia* of a CRAFT (such as shoemaking) and of the craftsman includes both the activities involved in the exercise of the craft and the product (the shoes) that is aimed at in the exercise (cf. notes to 1094a3, 1168a6; FUNCTION).

The scope of *energeia* is sometimes narrowed by contrast with *hexis* and by contrast with kinesis: (1) In DA (De Anima or On the Soul) 412a11-8, Aristotle contrasts 'first activity with 'second'. Someone is in first activity in relation to his knowledge of French if he has learned French and can speak it on the right occasions, but at the moment is asleep or thinking about something else. He is in second activity when he is actually speaking French (1146a31). To have a SOUL is to have a first activity. In the EN a first activity is called a STATE. When Aristotle defines HAPPINESS as an activity of the soul, he is requireing it to include second activities, not merely states (1095b32, 1178b18-20). (2) In 1174a14 ff., Phys. (Physics) 201A9, Met. (Metaphysics) 1048b18, Aristotle draws a further contrast. (a) A MOVEMENT is an incompolete activity. The degree of activity is consistent with the retention of the capacity realized in the activity, where the compete activity implies the loss of the capacity. The movement of house-building, for instance, is going on when the bricks and stones have incompletely actualized their capacity to become a house; when they completely actualize that is not still capable of becoming a house. (b) A compete activity, however, does not imply the loss of the capacity that is actualized in the activity. Seeing or living, for instance, does not imply the loss of the capacity to see or live. A movement is incomplete because it aims at some end beyond itself (e.g., the building process aims at the house being built) whose achievement makes that movement impossible to continue (we cannot keep building the house when it is already built), whereas a complete activity is its own end.

The Greek word *energia* is the origin of our word 'energy'.

Capacity, capable, power, powerful (dynamis):

If x has the capacity to F, x is capable of F and x will F in the right conditions. If fire has a capacity to burn, it will burn unprotected flesh close to it; this is a nonrational capacity. If Smith has a capacity to build, he will build when he chooses to build in the right conditions for building; this is a rational capacity. See *Met.* ix 1-7, esp. 5. Hence a capacity is what is realized in an ACTIVITY.

Capacities include CRAFTS and branches of STUDY (1094a10, 26) and also the natural capacities from which the VIRTUES are developed (1103a25, 1106a6, 1161a3, 1178a32). HAPPINESS is not a capacity; see 1101b12. Virtue requires not only capacity, but also DECISION (1127b14).

The origin of the English word 'dynamic'.

Character (ethos, ethikos):

The *EN* is about the formations of VIRTUES of character. These are the STATES resulting from (a) early habituation, to acquire the right DESIRES, FEELINGS, PLEASURES, and PAINS (1104b11, 1179b24); hence Aristotle connects character closely with habit (1103a14-26); (b) the correct use of rational deliberation that marks a prudent person who makes the DECISION. The formation of the right character requires the EDUCATION of the nonrational parts of the SOUL (1103a3). But since they are to be trained to ct according to correct REASON, training in reasoning and deliberation is also needed. It is someone's character that makes him the 'sort of' (*hoios*) person he is. Hence 'character' often translates *hoios* or the cognate *poios*.

The actions appropriate to a person's character are those said to be 'proper to him' or those he 'is the sort' to do (e.g. 1120a31, 1146a6, 12, and 'not for him', a32). All these phrases translate the Greek genitive case, i.e., 'is not the generous (etc.) person'.

See ETHICS.

Complete (teleios):

This is cognate with *telos*, 'end'. It applies to something that has reached its *telos*, and hence it applies to a mature, adult organism (1102b2; *Met.* 1072b24). Aristotle explains completeness in *Met.* v

GLOSSARY (Week of 4/6/2020)

16. He attributes it to HAPPINESS, 1097a25-b21, 1098a18, 1101a13). 'Final' and 'perfect' are other possible translations of *teleios*; our choice of translation is connected with our view on some complicated questions about the relation of happiness to other ends.

Craft (techne):

A craft is a rational discipline concerned with PRODUCTION. Hence, Aristotle sometimes speaks of it as SCIENCE (*episteme*) (1094a1, 7), though it does not meet the strictest conditions for a science (1140b2, 34). Craft involves inquiry and deliberation, and so Aristotle often uses its methods to illustrate the procedures of VIRTUE and PRUDENCE. Still, there is a basic difference. For prudence unlike craft, is concerned with ACTION (1140b3, 1153a25), not production. Moreover, it requires the correct use of a capacity, whereas a craft is a capacity that can be correctly or incorrectly used. Hence the virtuous person does not simply practice a craft, and the *EN* itself is not the exposition of craft knowledge.

The 'techn-' in the English word 'technology'.

End, goal, aim (telos):

The *telos* of a process is its final CAUSE, a state (a) that benefits some being with a SOUL; (b) that is caused by the process as efficient cause; and (c) whose occurrence, in particular the benefit it cuases, explains the occurrence of the process. In this sense, cutting steak is the end of a steak knife, pumping blood is the end of a mammal's heart, and winning the game is the end of playing chess. The FUNCTION of an artifact or organism is also its end, 1097b24.

Ethics (ethika):

'Ethical' is derived from *ethikos*, the adjective cognate with *ethos*, 'character'. Hence ethics is the part of POLITICAL SCIENCE that studies HAPPINESS; since virtue of character is a major component of happiness this part of political science studies character; hence the traditional name of the *EN*. IN the work itself, Aristotle calls this discipline 'political science', not 'ethics'. **Excellent** (*spoudaios*):

A *spoudaios* matter is a serious matter requiring us to take it seriously (*spoudazein*). Aristotle regularly uses the term as the adjective corresponding to 'virtue', hence as equivalent to 'good'. The association with 'taking seriously' is exploaided at 1177a1-6, where 'serious' renders *spoudaios*; cf. 1125a10.

Function, product, result, achievement (*ergon*):

The best single translation for *ergon* would be 'work'. These different uses (sometimes cloesy related) can be distinguisehd: (1) process of PRODUCTION, or productive task to be undertaken (1109a25, 1124b25); (2) product, result of the process (1094a5-6, 1106b10, 1133a9, 1167b34); (3) achievement, not involving any product (1100b13, 1101b16, 1120b13); (4) action, more or less equivalent to ACTIVITY (e.g. 1104b5); (5) contrasted with *logos* (see REASON [6]); hence 'facts' (1168a35, 1172a35), 'what we do'; (6) function, characteristic task, ACTIVITY, and END (1097b25, 1106a16, 1139a18, 1144a6, 1162a22, 1176a3). A thing's *ergon* is connected with its essence and its VIRTUE; in animate beings *ergon* corresponds to the type of SOUL. **Happiness** (*eudaimonia*):

Aristotle follows common beliefs in identifying the highest human GOOD with happiness, also identified with 'living well' or 'doing well' (1095a18; cf. 1139b3, 1140a28, 1140b7). He argues for the identification in 1097a15-b21, appealing to common beliefs about happiness in support of his account (1096a1, 1153b14). 'Happiness' is a misleading rendering of *eudaimonia* (indeed many modern interpretation so Aristotle would translate the word as 'flourishing').

Happiness is the complete end, the only one that does not promote any other end. It is complete because it is the most comprehensive; there is no more comprehensive end for it to promote. Aristotle makes the same point in calling happiness self-sufficent, *autarkes*, because it lacks nothing (i.e., no reasonable object of desire).

GLOSSARY (Week of 4/6/2020)

Political science (*politike*):

The suffix *-ike* is added to words to signify an art/craft or a science (e.g. *Hippikes* is horsemanship [*hippo* meaning horse]). Hence *politike* is the art/craft or science of the city (or political science). Since political science is concerned with ACTION, it is not strictly a SCIENCE. Since it deliberates and DECIDES about happiness, it is the same STATE as PRUDENCE [*phronesis*] (1141b23-1142a10). It is the application of prudence to political science is to achieve HAPPINESS for all the citizens of the city (1094a26-b6, 1152b1-3). To discover this we must know what happiness for a human being is. That is the task of the *EN*. A human being is political by nature because only a political community develops his nature so as to achieve his complete happiness; hhence the inquiry in the *EN* is part of the inquiry continued in the *Politics*.

If x is an F (e.g., a knife), then the virtue of x as an F is the STATE of x that makes x a GOOD F (in a knife itsvirtue will be cutting well, durability, etc., that make it a good knife). Hence x's virtue will reflect its good performance of the FUNCTION of Fs (see Plato, *Rep.* 352d-353e). Aristotle's conception of virtue, therefore, is **wider** *than moral virtue*. In some cases 'excellence' may be the best rendering of *arete*.

Virtues are divided into virtues of thought and virtues of CHARACTER. In his account of the individual virtues, Aristotle relies on common beliefs about their scope as his starting point. But he often reforms common usage; he ascribes to each virtue a distinctive range of actions, motives, and CAPACITIES. TO articulate the virtues clearly, he gives names to states of character that have not been recognized explicitly as virtues, but are shown to be virtues with the help of the doctrive of the MEAN.